#### TRANSPORT FOR LONDON



### RIVER CROSSINGS: SILVERTOWN TUNNEL SUPPORTING TECHNICAL DOCUMENTATION

SILVERTOWN TUNNEL: HIGHWAY INFRASTRUCTURE CONCEPTUAL DESIGN RECOMMENDATIONS

#### Atkins

April 2013

This report builds upon previous studies undertaken to investigate the highway connections between the proposed Silvertown Tunnel and the existing highway networks to the north and south of the River Thames, in order to identify the preferred options. This report is part of a wider suite of documents which outline our approach to traffic, environmental, optioneering and engineering disciplines, amongst others. We would like to know if you have any comments on our approach to this work. To give us your views, please respond to our consultation at www.tfl.gov.uk/silvertowntunnel

Please note that consultation on the Silvertown Tunnel is running from October – December 2014.

## **ATKINS**

(A 2, A 20) Lewisham A 102 🛆

# **Silvertown Tunnel:**

Highway Infrastructure Conceptual Design Recommendations

April, 2013



**Plan Design Enable** 





This document and its contents have been prepared and are intended solely for *Transport for London* information.

*Atkins Limited* assumes no responsibility to any other party in respect of or arising out of or in connection with this document and/or its contents.

#### **Document History**

JOB NUME	BER: 5110309		DOCUMENT REF: 5110309-DOC-008				
Revision	Purpose Description	Originated	Checked	Reviewed	Authorised	Date	
А	DRAFT ISSUE	MF	PRM	PRM	PRM	16/04/13	
В	FORMAL ISSUE	MF	PRM	PRM	PRM	29/04/13	
С	MINOR AMENDMENTS	MF	PRM	MF	PRM	20/05/13	
D	MINOR AMENDMENTS	СН	MF	PRM	PRM	10/07/13	
E	BUDGET ADJUSTMENT	СН	MF	PRM	PRM	24/07/13	

### Appendices

Appendix D: Silvertown Tunnel Crossing: Re-Use Potential and Waste Characterisation of Arisings Study
Appendix E: Works Programme and Construction Phasing Drawings
Appendix F: Statutory Undertakers' Plant
Appendix G: Preliminary Works Cost Estimate
Appendix H: Designer's Risk Assessment
Appendix I: Greenwich Peninsula Spatial Analysis (Previous Case Study)



## **Appendix D:** Silvertown Tunnel Crossing: Re-Use Potential and Waste Characterisation of Arisings Study

### **Silvertown Tunnel Crossing** Re-Use Potential and Waste Characterisation of Arisings

March 2013 Report Ref: Waste Characterisation/Silvertown

### Plan Design Enable

### **Notice**

This document and its contents have been prepared and are intended solely for Transport for London's information and use in relation to the potential for re-use and waste characterisation of the large volumes of cut excavation proposed at the southern and northern approach of the proposed Silvertown Tunnel Crossing,

Atkins Limited assumes no responsibility to any other party in respect of or arising out of or in connection with this document and/or its contents.

This document has 35 pages including the cover.

#### **Document history**

Job numb	per: 5111977		Document ref: Waste characterisation/Silvertown				
Revision	sion Purpose description Originated		Checked	Reviewed	Authorised	Date	
Rev 0.1	Draft	GB	SP	JE	JS	20/03/13	

### **Table of contents**

#### Chapter

	-		
<b>1.</b> 1.1. 1.2. 1.3.	Introduc Site Loc Data So Limitatic	cation cation burces ons	<b>4</b> 4 5 5
<b>2.</b> 2.1. 2.2. 2.3.	<b>Re-Use</b> Introduc Methodo Results	Potential ction ology	<b>6</b> 6 6
<b>3.</b> 3.1. 3.2. 3.3.	Waste ( Introduc Methodo Results	<b>9</b> 9 9 9	
4.	Conclus	sions and Recommendations	11
5.	Referen	nces	12
Арре	endices		13
Appe	ndix A.	Figures	14
Appendix B.		Drawings	16
Appe	ndix C.	Soil Screening for Potential Re-Use	26
Appe	ndix D.	CAT-WASTE <sup>SOIL</sup> Output	33

**Pages** 

#### **Tables**

Table 2-1	Summary of Exceedances of Atkins SSVs for Open S	paces 7
-----------	--	---------

### 1. Introduction

Transport for London (TfL) are developing proposals for a new road tunnel (Silvertown Tunnel Crossing) linking the areas north and south of the Thames between the Greenwich Peninsula and Silvertown. The aim is to relieve traffic congestion at the Blackwall Tunnel. In order to allow the decision making to progress towards selection of preferred options for the tunnel crossing TfL have commissioned Atkins to undertake further design work. Their scope (Ref i) for this design work included the following item:

"Review of contaminated land issues, including the large volumes of cut excavation proposed at the southern approach as well as those around the northern approach. Relevant issues (e.g. enumeration of numbers of large vehicle journeys) should be presented together with a description of how they can be satisfactorily addressed (e.g. work site plans) including any effects optimisations may have on permanent works design solutions."

Following a review of the project requirements the Atkins' scope of works was to include the following:

- a high level review of available chemical data and comparison to appropriate soil guideline values/ water quality standards to assess if material located within areas of cut excavation could be re-used within the areas of fill; and
- where the high level review of available chemical data identified that material was not suitable for reuse, a waste assessment (in line with the Environment Agency Technical Guidance WM2 (Ref. ii) and relevant UK waste legislation) has been undertaken to provide potential waste classifications (i.e. inert, non-hazardous or hazardous).

Whilst the above scope was included it should be noted that it has not been possible to undertake the assessments using chemical data for samples obtained within the areas of cut excavation as this data was not available. Therefore the assessments contained in this report are based on chemical data located within 50 m to 100 m of the northern and southern portal boundaries. A 50 m to 100 m distance has been used as this is a typical distance used for assessing contaminant sources and migration of contaminants in groundwater bodies.

#### 1.1. Site Location

The sites considered in this report are:

- the northern portal site; and
- the southern portal site.

The northern portal site of the proposed Silvertown Tunnel is situated in Silvertown (London Borough of Newham), at Ordnance Survey (OS) grid ref TQ398806 (see Figure 1, Appendix A). There are two highway alignments for the northern portal; North 5A and North 5B (see Drawings 5110309/HW/GA/0207 and 5110309/HW/GA/0208 in Appendix B). The earthworks associated with each highway alignment are understood to be:

- North 5A a major cutting slope is required directly west of the tunnel portal. Relatively minor cuttings and embankments are required along the proposed road to connect Dock Road to the new roundabout that runs parallel to the Docklands Light Railway Line (DLR). Further earthworks in the form of a cutting and embankment are proposed along the road to allow connection between the new roundabout and Lower Lea Crossing Road; and
- North 5B major cutting slopes are required at the tunnel portal. Relatively minor cuttings and embankments are required along the proposed road to connect Dock Road to the new roundabout that runs parallel to the DLR. Further earthworks in the form of a cutting and embankment are proposed along the road to allow connection between the new roundabout and Lower Lea Crossing Road.

For the purposes of this report, the boundary of the northern portal is considered to be the maximum extent of the two proposed alignments.

The southern portal of the proposed Silvertown Tunnel is situated in the Greenwich Peninsula (Royal Borough of Greenwich) in the immediate vicinity of the  $O_2$  (Millennium Dome), at OS grid ref TQ390795 (see Figure 1). There is one highway alignment for the southern portal; South 4 (see Drawing 5110309/HW/GA/0103). The earthworks associated with the South 4 alignment are understood to be:

 South 4 - A major conventional cutting slope is proposed between the tunnel south portal and proposed bridge. The cutting west of the portal extends towards the western end of the proposed junction to allow the construction of a bus link. A relatively low rise conventional slope is proposed south of the western bridge approach embankment.

For the purposes of this report the boundary of the southern portal is considered to be the extent of the South 4 proposed alignment.

#### 1.2. Data Sources

The following information has been reviewed as part of the report:

- British Geological Society (BGS) borehole logs;
- Soil Mechanics, Cable Car for London Ground Investigation, Factual Report on Ground Investigation, Volume 1: Text, Exploratory Hole Records, Instrumentation and Monitoring and Photographs, Report No: D1002-11/1, June 2011 (Ref iii);
- Soil Mechanics, Cable Car for London Ground Investigation, Factual Report on Ground Investigation, Volume 2: In Situ Testing, Geotechnical Laboratory Test Results, Drawings and Digital Data, Report No: D1002-11/2, June 2011 (Ref iv);
- Soil Mechanics, Cable Car for London Ground Investigation, Factual Report on Ground Investigation, Volume 3: Geoenvironmental Laboratory Test Results, Report No: D1002-11/3, June 2011 (Ref v);
- Atkins, TfL Silvertown Crossing, Volume A: Background and Inputs, May 2012 (Ref vi);
- Atkins Drawings for the Silvertown Crossing (Appendix B); and
- Mott MacDonald, Silvertown Crossing Study, Tunnel Engineering, February 2012 (Ref vii).

It should be noted that numerous site investigation reports were made available to Atkins, however, only those reports that included exploratory holes located on or within 100 m of the southern and northern portals of the Silvertown Tunnel Crossing were used as part of the assessment and are included within the list above.

#### 1.3. Limitations

There is no chemical data available for samples obtained within the areas of proposed cut excavation and therefore this report draws upon data from intrusive investigation of a relatively small proportion of the subsurface conditions located outside of the northern and southern portals of the Silvertown Tunnel Crossing. Inferences drawn from these assessments are subject to the inherent limitations of any such study.

In completing the assessment, Atkins has relied on information from third parties which has not been independently verified.

### 2. Re-Use Potential

#### 2.1. Introduction

In order to re-use materials you have to demonstrate they are suitable for use which requires the user to demonstrate that the waste would not pose a risk to human health and/or controlled waters receptors (note, there are other factors that need to be demonstrated including (but not limited to) the volume being used and its geotechnical suitability). This chapter assesses available chemical data located in close proximity of the northern and southern portal boundaries to determine if the materials are suitable for re-use within the scheme in relation to risk to human health and/or controlled waters.

#### 2.2. Methodology

A review of available site investigation reports was undertaken to identify chemical data for soil samples obtained within the areas of proposed cut excavation and within the two site boundaries. As no chemical data was available for soil samples obtained within the areas of cut excavation then data for soil samples obtained within 100 m) was used. As mentioned previously a 50 m to 100 m distance has been used as this is a typical distance used for assessing contaminant sources and migration of contaminants in groundwater bodies.

It is understood that the proposed cut excavation material is to be re-used under the main carriageway and embankments. As the embankments would be accessible by the general public, human receptors have been considered. Therefore soil data has been compared to the Environment Agency Soil Guideline Values (SGVs) and Atkins soil screening values (SSVs) for an Open Spaces scenario to assess if the re-use of material would pose a risk to human health.

Atkins has produced SSVs based on soil organic matter (SOM) contents of 1% and 6% SOM. The 1% values provide a more conservative value and are based on a sandy soil type, whereas the 6% values are based on a sandy loam. An insufficient number of samples were analysed for total organic carbon (which is used to derive SOM) to derive a representative SOM and therefore the 1 % values have been used for this assessment. The screening criteria used for this assessment is generic and therefore conservative. Higher screening values can be developed but these would need to be produced through site specific risk assessment which is beyond the scope of these works.

Atkins has derived SSVs for a number of volatile or potentially volatile contaminants (including polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs)) based on both soil saturation limits (where the contaminant will theoretically reach saturation and form free product) and health-based modelled values (given by the Contaminated Land Exposure Assessment (CLEA) model) which can be used where site conditions indicate saturation has not been reached and no free product exists. The SSVs for no free product have been used for this assessment unless a review of the borehole logs indicates free phase product is present.

To assess if the material poses a risk to controlled waters receptors, leachate data should be compared to drinking water standards and environmental quality standards. However, a review of available site investigation reports has identified that there is no leachate data available and therefore it has not been possible to assess if the re-use of materials would pose a risk to controlled waters receptors.

#### 2.3. Results

#### 2.3.1. Northern Portal

Based on the previous site investigation reports reviewed there are no chemical data available for soil samples obtained within the areas of cut excavation or within the northern portal boundary.

The closest site investigation to the northern portal was undertaken by Soil Mechanics between February and April 2011 (Ref iii). The site investigation comprised two groups of exploratory holes located approximately 50 m and 100 m to the south east of the northern portal site. According to the Soil Mechanics

report (Ref iii) the exploratory holes were located on sites that are currently and had been occupied by various industrial/commercial land uses, the same type of land use previously occupied by the northern portal (Ref vii). A total of 35 soil samples were obtained, of which 31 were of Made Ground, three were of River Terrace Deposits and one was of Alluvium. The soil samples were analysed for a range of chemical determinands including:

- metals (arsenic, boron, barium, berrylium, cadmium, chromium, copper, iron, lead, mercury, nickel, selenium, vanadium and zinc);
- pH, total sulphur, sulphate (water-soluble);
- total petroleum hydrocarbons Criteria Working Group (TPH CWG);
- speciated PAHs;
- volatile organic compounds (VOCs) (including benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylene (BTEX);
- phenols; and
- asbestos screen.

The chemical results have been compared to the Atkins SSVs for an Open Space scenario. Based on a review of the borehole logs only one sample (NIT BH09A at 2.6 m) potentially contained free phase product and therefore was compared to the SSVs for free phase product.

The screening of the data is contained in Appendix C whilst the exceedances are summarised in Table 2-1 below.

Determinand	Number of Exceedances	Atkins SSV (mg/kg)	Concentration of Maximum Exceedance and Location
Aromatics >C21 - C35	2	5380	9150 mg/kg - NT DS02F at 1.3 m
Arsenic	5	138	3480 mg/kg - NT BH02 at 3 m
Benzo(a)anthracene	2	28.7	495 mg/kg - NT TP03 at 1.45 m
Benzo(a)pyrene	11	3.7	227 mg/kg - NT DS02F at 1.3 m
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	2	35.5	353 mg/kg - NT TP03 at 1.45 m
Ronzo(a h i)ponylono	1	0.0187	0.16 mg/kg - NIT BH09A at 2.6
Belizo(g,ii,i)perylette	I	(free phase product)	m
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	2	3.96	43.9 mg/kg - NT DS02F at 1.3 m
Dibonzo(a b)anthracono	1	0.00393	0.18 mg/kg - NIT BH09A at 2.6
Dibenzo(a,n)antinacene	I	(free phase product)	m
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	2	34.4	125 mg/kg - NT DS02F at 1.3 m
Indona (1.2.2. od) pyrana	1	0.0614	0.18 mg/kg - NIT BH09A at 2.6
indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	I	(free phase product)	m
Lead	1	1590	4350 mg/kg - NT BH02 at 3 m

 Table 2-1
 Summary of Exceedances of Atkins SSVs for Open Spaces

Note: Results that exceed the SSVs but are below the method detection limit have not been included in the table above.

The results of the screening show that 14 out of the 31 samples within the Made Ground exceed at least one of the SSVs indicating that the material may present a risk to human health if re-used. In addition 17 of the Made Ground samples contained asbestos and therefore, may or may not pose a risk to human health depending on the percentage of asbestos and the manner in which it is re-used i.e. placed at a depth so that the pathway to human health is removed. Ten out of the 35 samples do not exceed the SSVs or contain asbestos indicating some of the Made Ground and the natural ground (Alluvium and River Terrace Deposits) material could be re-used.

It should be noted that even though the previous land use within the northern portal boundary has been occupied by various industrial/commercial land uses (Ref. vii), as per the sites where data has been used for this

It should be noted that the chemical data used for this assessment has been obtained from boreholes located approximately 50 m to 100 m from the northern portal boundary and therefore may not be representative of the material within the cuttings. The chemistry of the soils, particularly the Made Ground, located within the areas of cut excavation is likely to vary to the data screened in this assessment due to any previous contaminative land uses, however, it does provide an indication of the potential quality of the material that may be present. It is therefore recommended that additional site investigation is undertaken to obtain soil samples from the areas of cut to assess if the material would be suitable for re-use.

#### 2.3.2. Southern Portal

Based on the previous site investigation reports reviewed there is no chemical data available for soil samples obtained within the areas of cut excavation, within the southern portal boundary or within 100 m. It is therefore not possible to assess if the cut excavation material would be suitable for re-use.

The need for site specific chemical data is also highlighted by the historical context of the site. Information from the Mott MacDonald report (Ref. vii) indicates the Greenwich Peninsula was previously dominated by the Southern Metropolitan Gasworks and the south eastern part of the southern portal is located over a current gas works. Remediation of the Southern Metropolitan Gasworks site has been undertaken however, there is still potential that the areas of cut excavation will contain contaminated material. It is therefore recommended that additional site investigation is undertaken to obtain soil samples from the areas of cut excavation to assess if the material would be suitable for re-use.

### 3. Waste Characterisation

#### 3.1. Introduction

The process of classifying wastes is outlined within the Environment Agency guidance documents:

- Interpretation of the Definition and Classification of Hazardous Waste (Ref. Error! Bookmark not defined.). It is understood that this guidance is to be updated in late March or April of this year however, the content or exact publication date is unknown; and
- How to Find Out if Waste Oil and Wastes that Contain Oil are Hazardous (Ref. viii).

UK guidance (and European Directives) outlines three types of soil waste, as described below.

#### 3.1.1. Inert Waste

The classification of inert waste is based upon a physical description of the material based upon criteria set within Section 2.1.1 of The EU Council Decision (Ref. ix) and if required, a chemical assessment. The chemical assessment is undertaken through inert waste acceptance criteria (WAC) testing. The testing is only required if there is reason to believe that contaminants may be present within the material. Where testing has been required and undertaken, the landfill accepting the inert material will require copies of the results of this chemical testing.

#### 3.1.2. Non-Hazardous Waste

Classification of non-hazardous waste is based upon the wastes' chemical constituents. The waste must be appropriately characterised through chemical analysis of the granular material for the contaminants that are likely to be present. The concentrations of the chemical constituents are then assessed against hazard classes which have prescribed limits set for the determination of waste being either non-hazardous or hazardous.

#### 3.1.3. Hazardous Waste

Similar to non-hazardous waste, the chemical constituents of the waste material are assessed against the relevant hazard classes and Environment Agency guidance described above.

This chapter of the report provides a waste assessment for the samples that were identified as not suitable for re-use in the previous chapter.

#### 3.2. Methodology

The chemical results of the samples that were not suitable for re-use (see Chapter 2) have been screened using the Atkins' waste soils assessment tool (CAT-WASTE<sup>SOIL</sup>) which allows preliminary assessment of materials in terms of their potential characterisation as either non-hazardous or hazardous waste for handling/disposal purposes. The results of the screening are provided below. For the sake of clarity it should again be noted that although the data used is obtained from sites with the same type of previous land use (commercial/industrial) due to the distance from the areas of cut excavation the data is not representative of site conditions, but may, with caution, be used as a general indicator of its quality.

#### 3.3. Results

#### 3.3.1. Northern Portal

The CAT-WASTE<sup>SOIL</sup> output (see Appendix D) indicates that three out of the 14 samples would be classified as hazardous waste by the hazardous properties H7 (carcinogenic) and H14 (ecotoxic). The remaining 11 samples would be classified as non-hazardous. There is potential for seven of the non-hazardous samples to be classified as hazardous as the asbestos screen has identified asbestos. However, the concentration of asbestos present would be required to confirm this as the limit for soils that contain asbestos is 0.1 % w/w, above which they would be classified as hazardous.

It is unlikely that the samples classified as non-hazardous could be classified as inert waste as many of the borehole log descriptions contain wood which is not inert. In addition the chemical composition of the samples is likely to cause exceedances of the WAC for inert landfills, however, inert WAC testing would be required to confirm this.

#### 3.3.2. Southern Portal

No chemical data was available for samples obtained within the areas of cut excavation or on or within 100 m of the southern portal boundary and therefore it has not been possible to undertake a waste assessment.

### 4. Conclusions and Recommendations

TfL are developing proposals for the Silvertown Tunnel Crossing and in order to progress towards selection of preferred options Atkins was commissioned to undertake further assessment. This work included an assessment of the potential for re-use and waste characterisation of the cut excavation materials proposed at the northern and southern portals.

Previous site investigation reports made available to Atkins were reviewed and chemical data for samples within 100 m of the two portal boundaries was used to assess if the material would be suitable for re-use.

The chemical data screened for the northern portal indicates that 25 samples of Made Ground would not be suitable for re-use in terms of human health receptors. However, the chemical data used for the assessment was for soil samples obtained from approximately 50 m to 100 m from the northern portal boundary and therefore may not be representative of the materials located within the proposed areas of cut excavation. It was not possible to assess if the samples would pose a risk to controlled waters receptors as there was no leachate data available.

No chemical data was available within the proposed areas of cut excavation of the southern portal, within the southern portal boundary or within 100 m of it and therefore it was not possible to assess if materials could be re-used.

The waste characterisation assessment was undertaken on samples that were identified as not suitable for re-use. The waste characterisation for the northern portal indicates that three out of the 14 samples would be classified as hazardous waste with the potential for an additional seven samples to be classified as hazardous as they contain asbestos. The remainder of the samples would be classified as non-hazardous.

It was not possible to undertake a waste assessment for the southern portal as there was no chemical data available.

Due to lack of chemical data for samples obtained within the proposed areas of cut excavation within the northern and southern portals it is not possible to calculate any meaningful volumes of the amount of material within the proposed cut excavation areas that can be re-used. It is therefore recommended that further site investigation is undertaken. The site investigation should include: obtaining samples of the Made Ground and natural ground from the proposed areas of cut excavation subsequent chemical analysis (including leachate) and a re-use and waste assessment as contained herein. A grid spacing of between 25 m to 50 m for the exploratory locations would be proposed however, this would have to be confirmed based on an in depth review of previous potential contaminative land uses.

### 5. References

i Transport for London. Highways Engineering and related Civil / Structural aspects for Silvertown Tunnel Crossing, Version No. 0.1, 2nd January 2012.

ii Environment Agency. Technical Guidance WM2 – Hazardous Waste, Interpretation of the Definition and Classification of Hazardous Waste, 2nd edition v2.3, April 2011.

iii Soil Mechanics, Cable Car for London – Ground Investigation, Factual Report on Ground Investigation, Volume 1: Text, Exploratory Hole Records, Instrumentation and Monitoring and Photographs.

iv Soil Mechanics, Cable Car for London – Ground Investigation, Factual Report on Ground Investigation, Volume 2: In Situ Testing, Geotechnical Laboratory Test Results, Drawings and Digital Data, Report No: D1002-11/2, June 2011.

v Soil Mechanics, Cable Car for London – Ground Investigation, Factual Report on Ground Investigation, Volume 3: Geoenvironmental Laboratory Test Results, Report No: D1002-11/3, June 2011.

vi Atkins, TfL Silvertown Crossing, Volume A: Background and Inputs, May 2012.

vii Mott MacDonald, Silvertown Crossing Study, Tunnel Engineering, February 2012.

viii Environment Agency, How to Find Out if Waste Oil and Wastes That Contain Oil Are Hazardous - A Guide to the Hazardous Waste Regulations, HWR 08, Version 3.1, 2007.

ix The Council Decision 2003/33/EC. Establishing Criteria and Procedures for the Acceptance of Waste at Landfills Pursuant to Article 16 of Annex II to Directive 1999/31/EC (2002).

## Appendices

### **Appendix A. Figures**



	TITLE	Site Location		LEGEND	
WATER AND ENVIRONMENT WOODCOTE GROVE ASHLEY ROAD EPSOM	PROJECT	Silvertown Tun	nel Crossing		
KT18 5BW	DATE	DOCUMENT PATH		FIGURE	
Tel: 01372 726140 Fax: 01372 740055	03/13	5111977/R001		1	
CALE	DRAWN BY:	CHECKED BY:	STATUS	•	
NTS	GB	JE	FOR INFORMATION ONLY		

### **Appendix B. Drawings**



MORE DELIVER	The second secon	
		/7
		tes testers te
		Horizon Contraction of the second sec
	ISTER INC.	
SILVERTOWN WAY		
		BOOK ROAD
DOCKLANDS LIGHT RAILWAY		
		the second secon
A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	Solo A	
		Client
	ATKINS™	Transport fo
SEE TITLE BLOCK A ORIGINAL ISSUE	Atkins Limited         Consulting Engineers,         Threadneedle House         9-10 Market Road         Chelmsford, Essex, England,	Project           Tel: (01245) 245245           Fax: (01245) 345010
Date Auth Rev Description	By Date Chk'd Auth CM1 1XA	www.atkinsglobal.com





	Land Market Report Land Land Land Land Land Land Land Land	
A state of the sta		,
		and the second of the second o
	A COROLOGICAL CONTRACTOR OF CO	Store of the store
SILVERIOWN WAY		A MARCA SURVEY CONVERSION OF THE OWNER OF THE OWNER OF THE OWNER
DOCKLANDS LIGHT RAILWAY		
	Solo to the solo t	x y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y
entry of the second sec	and	
		Client Transport fo
SEE TITLE BLOCK     A     ORIGINAL ISSUE       Date     Auth     Rev     Description	Atkins Limited         Consulting Engineers,         Threadneedle House         9-10 Market Road       Te         Chelmsford, Essex, England,       Fax         By       Date       Chk'd Auth         CM1       1XA       wr	Project I: (01245) 245245 I: (01245) 345010 ww.atkinsglobal.com





P:\GBCMA\HandT\TSol\Al\Projects\5110309\_Silvertown\_FROB3713\20\_CAD\01\_Drgs\01\_Highways\MK2 October 2012\5110309 HW GA 0209 Longsection — Option 5B (Hamburger) Mainline.dwg

SIL VERTOWN WAY		A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
		orden 1 to 119 Western Beach Aportments
		Viaduct
	Waterroht Studies V Business Centre	DOCK ROAD
		$\begin{array}{c} \times \\ \end{array}$
		$\begin{array}{c} \times \times$
SCARAB CLOSE		
	Cost and	
to solver to solver the solver to solver to solver the solver to solver the solver to solver to solver the solver to solver		
1.725         1.903         1.903         2.081         2.081         2.081         2.081         2.081         2.081         2.081         2.081         2.081         2.081         2.081         2.091         1.1910         1.187         1.1360         1.1361		
2.580 2.580 2.605 2.585 2.585 2.605 2.585 1.892 3.502 3.502 3.502 3.502 3.502 3.502 3.502 3.502 3.502 1.770 1.770 1.770 1.770 1.862 1.862 1.862 1.865 1.925	2.001 2.182 2.546 3.041 3.041	
R180.000m 6-5.000	R	SAFETY, HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENTAL INFORMATION IN ADDITION TO THE HAZARDS/RISKS NORMALLY ASSOCIATED WITH THE TYPES OF WORK DETAILED ON THIS DRAWING, NOTE THE FOLLOWING SIGNIFICANT RESIDUAL PISKS
Ge-1.782         Re-3000.300m           000		CONSTRUCTION MAINTENANCE/CLEANING
240 230 20 230 20 230 20 240 100.001 200.001 200.001 200.001 200.001 200.001 200.001 200.001 200.001 200.001 200.0000 200.000 200.00000000	30. 0.0 0.0	USE
	Client	DECOMMISSIONING/DEMOLITION Title
	Transport for Londor	NORTH 5B LONG-SECTION SHEET 1 OF 2
Atkins Limited       Consulting Engineers.	© Project	Sheet Size Original Scale Designed/Drawn Checked Authorised DK SMC MF
SEE TITLE BLOCK     ORIGINAL ISSUE     SEE     TITLE BLOCK     ORIGINAL ISSUE	Tel: (01245) 245245 Fax: (01245) 345010	GHWAYS         Date         22/10/12         Date         24/10/12         Date         24/10/12           B         Drawing Number         Rev         Rev         A
Date Auth Rev Description By Date Chk'd Auth CM1 1XA	www.atkinsglobal.com	



P:\GBCMA\HandT\TSol\Al\Projects\5110309\_Silvertown\_FROB3713\20\_CAD\01\_Drgs\01\_Highways\MK2 October 2012\5110309 HW GA 0210 Longsection — Option 5B (Hamburger) Dock Road.dwg

								<b>ATKINS</b> <sup>™</sup>		Client	Transport fo
SEE	TITLE	3LOCK Auth	A	ORIGINAL ISSUE Description	By	SEE	TITLE BLOCK	<b>Atkins Limited</b> Consulting Engineers, Threadneedle House 9—10 Market Road Chelmsford, Essex, England, CM1 1XA	© Tel: (01245) 245245 Fax: (01245) 345010 www.atkinsglobal.com	Project	SILVERTOWN CI



P:\GBCMA\HandT\TSol\Al\Projects\5110309_Silvertown_F	FROB3713\20_CAD\01_Drgs\01_Highways\5110309_HW_GA_0009 Rev B.dwg
--	--

This

at Rev Purpose of Issue

								<b>ATKINS</b> <sup>™</sup>		Client	Transport fo
								Atkins Limited	$\bigcirc$	Project	
								Consulting Engineers,			
21/05/12	PRM	В	PLAN AMENDED	DK	21/05/12	MF	PRM	9-10 Market Road	Tel· (01245) 245245		SILVERTOWN C
SEE TITLE	BLOCK	А	ORIGINAL ISSUE		SEE	TITLE	BLOCK	Chelmsford, Essex, England,	Fax: (01245) 345010		
Date	Auth	Rev	Description	Ву	Date	Chk'd	Auth	CM1 1XA	www.atkinsglobal.com		

A 102		UNREL AVENUE
	SAF	FETY, HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENTAL INFORMATION
	DETAILED ON CONSTRUCTION MAINTENANCO USE DECOMMISSION Title	DN THIS DRAWING, NOTE THE FOLLOWING SIGNIFICANT RESIDUAL RISKS TION CE/CLEANING SIONING/DEMOLITION
or London	Sheet Size	SOUTH 4 LONG-SECTION SHEET 1 OF 4
ROSSING - HIGHWAYS	Status P	1:1000         Date         03/04/12         Date         23/05/12         Date         23/05/12           Drawing Number         5110309/HW/GA/0009         Rev         B



							<b>ATKINS</b> <sup>™</sup>	Clier	Transport fo
				SEE			Atkins Limited Consulting Engineers, Threadneedle House 9–10 Market Road Tel: (01245) 24524	) Proj	SILVERTOWN CF
Date Auth	Rev	Description	Ву	Date	Chk'd	Auth	Chelmsford, Essex, England, Fax: (01245) 34501 CM1 1XA www.atkinsglobal.co	0 n	



						<b>ATKINS</b> <sup>M</sup>		Client	Transport fo
 SEE TITLE BLOCK Date Auth	A ORIGINAL ISSUE Rev Description	By	SEE	TITLE Chk'd	BLOCK	<b>Atkins Limited</b> Consulting Engineers, Threadneedle House 9—10 Market Road Chelmsford, Essex, England, CM1 1XA	© Tel: (01245) 245245 Fax: (01245) 345010 www.atkinsglobal.com	Project	SILVERTOWN CI



P:\GBCMA\HandT\TSol\Al\Projects\5110309\_Silvertown\_FR0B3713\20\_CAD\01\_Drgs\01\_Highways\5110309\_HW\_GA\_0026.dwg

	Deenergenergenergenergenergenergenergene					
	TUNNEL AVENUE		and the second s	DREADNOUGHT STREET		
	-ore-					
	SAF IN ADDITION DETAILED ON	<b>ETY, HEALTH AN</b> TO THE HAZARDS/RISKS N THIS DRAWING, NOTE T	ID ENVIRONN NORMALLY ASSOCIA HE FOLLOWING SIGNI	IENTAL INFOF	RMAT S OF W SKS	
	CONSTRUCTI MAINTENANC USE DECOMMISSIO	ON E/CLEANING DNING/DEMOLITION				
or London		LONG-SE	SOUTH 4 CTION SHE	ET 4 OF 4		
ROSSING - HIGHWAYS	A1 Status P	Drawing Number	Date 21/04/12	MF Date 23/05/12	Date	PRM 23/05/12 Rev



### Appendix C. Soil Screening for Potential Re-Use

		SSVs for Open	SSVs for Open	Borehole	NIT BH01	NIT BH01A	NIT BH01A	NIT BH02	NIT BH02	NIT BH09	NIT BH09	NIT BH09A	NIT BH09G	NIT BH09G	NIT TP01	NIT TP01	NIT TP04	NIT TP04
		Space Land	Space Land Use	Depth	0.5	4	7	4	8	0.2	7	2.6	4.7	6.2	0.25	1.5	0.3	1.9
		Use - no free	- free product	Strata	Made Ground	Made Ground	Made Ground	Made Ground	Made Ground	Made Ground	Made Ground	Made Ground	Made Ground	Made Ground	Made Ground	Made Ground	Made Ground	Made Ground
Determinand	Unit	product		Free phase														
				observed?	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	yes	no	no	no	no	no	no
Asbestos Screen	N/A				CH CR AM	CH CR	CH CR AM	NAIIS	NAIIS	CH AM	CH AM	CH AM	СН	CR	СН	NAIIS	AM	AM
Moisture 105 DegC	%				14.1	20.7	21.9	29.7	18.4	17.4	33.3	21.1	21	22	14	29.9	24.7	23.2
pH units (AR)	pH Units				9.8	9.7	9	9.3	9.5	10.3	8.6	10.8	10.6	10.3	9.5	9	10.3	10.9
SO4 (H2O sol) mg/l	mg/kg	000000			2650	504	879	1190	1680	2160	1130	1550	1790	996	1070	993	383	3090
1,1,1,2-I etrachloroethane	ug/kg	660000	660000		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ug/kg ug/kg	203000000	506000		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
1.1-Dichloropropene	ug/kg ug/kg	500000	500000		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
1,1-Dichloroethane	ug/kg	57400000	1620000		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
1,1-Dichloroethene	ug/kg	1280000	1280000		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ug/kg				3	4	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	4
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	ug/kg				1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ug/kg				3	4	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	4
1,2,4- I rimethylbenzene	ug/kg	149000	149000		3	6	1	1	2	1	4	9	18	3	1	1	13	1
1,2-Dibromo-3-chioropropar	ug/kg ug/kg				1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
1.2-Dichloroethane	ua/ka	8050	8050		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
cis 1,2-Dichloroethene	ug/kg	254000	254000		3	4	4	5	6	2	3	3	6	6	3	4	4	4
1,2-Dibromoethane	ug/kg				1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
1,2-Dichloropropane	ug/kg	51700	51700		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	ug/kg				2	4	1	1	1	1	3	1	1	3	1	1	1	1
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ug/kg				1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
cis 1,3-Dichloropropene	ug/kg				1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
1,3-Dichloropropane	ug/kg ug/kg				1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
2 2-Dichloropropane	ug/kg ug/kg				1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
2-Chlorotoluene	ug/kg				1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	6	1	1	1	1	1
4-Chlorotoluene	ug/kg				1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	3	1	1	1	1	1
p-lsopropyltoluene	ug/kg				1	5	1	1	1	1	1	11	19	8	1	1	3	1
Acenaphthylene	mg/kg	10.400	457		0.6	0.1	0.1	0.08	0.1	0.31	0.12	0.1	0.48	0.1	0.16	0.11	0.31	0.1
Acenaphinene	mg/kg mg/kg	21600	157		2.88	0.37	0.1	0.08	0.18	0.4	0.12	0.1	5.37	0.28	0.30	0.11	0.29	0.1
Aliphatics >C10 - C12	ma/ka	21000	49.9		5	5	5	4	5	4 95	6	5.3	5	5	5	6	5	5
Aliphatics >C12 - C16	mg/kg	21700	21		56.1	10.42	5	4	5	52.8	6	13.6	26.8	7.28	13.6	6	15	12
Aliphatics >C16 - C21	mg/kg				161	23.8	8.22	4	5	133	11.29	29	36.1	5	70.3	13.6	41	28.4
Aliphatics >C21 - C35	mg/kg				359	71	16.1	8.76	10.74	311	33.4	94.2	134	11.23	234	31	146	49.9
Aliphatics >C16 - 35	mg/kg	590000	590000		520	94.8	24.32	12.76	15.74	444	44.69	123.2	170.1	16.23	304.3	44.6	187	78.3
Anthracene	mg/kg	96600	3.48		7.72	0.57	0.1	0.08	0.1	0.7	0.12	0.11	6.3	0.49	0.44	0.11	0.58	0.1
Aromatics $>$ C8 - C10 Aromatics $>$ C10 - C12	mg/kg mg/kg	8040	370		5	5	5	4	5	5	6	5	5	5	5	6	5	5
Aromatics >C12 - C16	ma/ka	8700	155		46.1	8.27	5	4	5	19.1	6	5.92	38.7	5	10.6	6	8.06	6.1
Aromatics >C16 - C21	mg/kg	5380	5380		222	22.2	5	4	5	77.1	9.01	20	139	6.44	35	7.06	32.5	18.5
Aromatics >C21 - C35	mg/kg	5380	5380		540	68.9	12	8.76	10.74	237	26.5	55.3	267	14.2	170	29.5	135	38.2
Arsenic (MS)	mg/kg	138	138		12.6	9.6	10.9	10.6	8.3	13.1	14.5	10.6	11.3	11.3	10	11.2	12.1	11.1
Barium	mg/kg	5240	5240		714	88.1	119	67.7	101	232	123	74.4	84.8	73.2	199	82.2	161	143
Beryllium	mg/kg	903	903		0.67	0.72	0.41	1	0.6	0.59	1.12	0.7	0.5	0.61	0.59	0.93	0.79	0.75
Benzo(a)anthracene	mg/kg	28./	1./1 0.011		12.5 9.64	1.17	0.1	0.08 0.08	0.18	∠.48 2 3	0.13	0.3	8.05 4.8	0.41	1.49	0.3	1.83	0.3
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ma/ka	35.5	1.22		11.25	0.50	0.1	0.08	0.23	2.88	0.18	0.29	5.78	0.33	2.08	0.39	2.3	0.29
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	mg/kg	451	0.0187		5.6	0.66	0.1	0.08	0.13	1.55	0.13	0.16	2.2	0.17	1.3	0.21	1.35	0.2
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	mg/kg	381	0.686		3.8	0.44	0.1	0.08	0.1	1	0.12	0.1	1.86	0.1	0.63	0.1	0.76	0.1
Benzene	mg/kg	42.5	42.5		0.012	0.013	0.013	0.01	0.012	0.012	0.015	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.012	0.014	0.013	0.033
Bromobenzene	ug/kg	642000	642000		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Bromochloromethane	ug/kg	4000000	4020000		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Bromomethane	ug/kg ug/kg	1930000	1930000		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Bromodichloromethane	ug/kg ug/ka	22200	22200		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
C6-C7	mg/ka	00			0.2	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.7
C7-C8	mg/kg				0.2	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.7
>C8 - C10	mg/kg				0.2	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.7
C5-C6	mg/kg				0.2	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.7
Chlorobenzene	ug/kg	17900000	651000		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

Notes: Numbe

Numbers in italics are below method detection limit

Asbestos

CH denotes Chrysotile

CR denotes Crocidolite

AM denotes Amosite NAIIS No asbestos identified in sample

		SSVs for Open	SSVs for Open	Borehole	NIT BH01	NIT BH01A	NIT BH01A	NIT BH02	NIT BH02	NIT BH09	NIT BH09	NIT BH09A	NIT BH09G	NIT BH09G	NIT TP01	NIT TP01	NIT TP04	NIT TP04
		Space Land	Space Land Use	Depth	0.5	4	7	4	8	0.2	7	2.6	4.7	6.2	0.25	1.5	0.3	1.9
		Use - no free	- free product	Christia	Made Ground	Made Ground	Made Ground	Made Ground	Made Ground	Made Ground	Made Ground	Made Ground						
Determinend	11	product		Strata														
Determinand	Unit			Free phase	no	yes	no	no	no	no	no	no						
				observed?	0.01	0.00		0.00	0.07	0.5	0.7	,	0.00	0.00	0.50	0.00	0.47	0.07
Cadmium (MS)	mg/kg	83.6	83.6		0.61	0.32	0.2	0.26	0.37	0.5	0.7	0.26	0.22	0.22	0.58	0.28	0.47	0.27
Chloroethane	ug/kg	988000000	2440000		2	3	3	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	2	3	3	3
Chioroform	ug/kg	1390000	1390000		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Chloromethane	ug/kg	48000	48000		3	4	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	4
	mg/kg	729	729		21.3	29.2	19.5	39.6	24.7	20.8	51.7	30.1	22	28.2	24.4	35	26	26.9
Chrysene	mg/kg	3010	0.44		10.31	1.03	0.1	0.08	0.2	1.96	0.12	0.19	5.8	0.35	1.52	0.23	1.79	0.2
	ug/kg	62800	62800		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Copper (MS)	mg/kg	40600	40600		63.8	31.5	80.4	31.1	41.4	53.4	51.1	22.1	16.6	34.8	48	21	48.2	58.9
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ug/kg	0.00	0.00000		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Dibenzo(a,n)anthracene	mg/kg	3.96	0.00393		1.42	0.16	0.1	0.08	0.1	0.33	0.12	0.1	0.5	0.1	0.29	0.11	0.3	0.1
Dibromocniorometnane	ug/kg	137000	137000		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Dibromomethane	ug/kg	25200	500		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Etnyi Benzene	mg/kg	35200	508		0.012	0.013	0.013	0.01	0.012	0.012	0.015	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.012	0.014	0.019	0.033
The second	mg/kg	40000	405		27000	30200	27200	34200	20800	22000	36400	24400	21500	26500	30000	35500	29400	26500
Fluorene	mg/kg	12600	125		3.24	0.37	0.1	0.08	0.1	0.29	0.12	0.1	4.76	0.29	0.24	0.11	0.25	0.1
Fluorantnene	mg/kg	13000	18.9		31	2.5	0.14	0.08	0.4	5.59	0.3	0.54	23.8	1.24	3.1	0.6	3.9	0.63
Hexachiorobutadiene	ug/kg	10	1.0		2	3	3	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	2	3	3	3
Mercury (MS)	mg/kg	4.3	4.3		1.54	0.49	0.55	0.5	0.61	1.19	0.83	0.49	0.5	0.55	1.12	0.5	0.68	0.51
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	mg/kg	34.4	0.0614		6.88	0.74	0.1	0.08	0.11	1.89	0.18	0.18	3.06	0.21	1.36	0.23	1.39	0.2
ISO-Propyidenzene	ug/kg	34900000	388000		1	3	1	1	1	1	1	4	25	6	1	1	9	1
MIBE	ug/kg	8000000	17400000		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
m and p-Xylene	mg/kg	58800	613		0.012	0.013	0.013	0.01	0.012	0.012	0.015	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.012	0.014	0.017	0.033
	mg/kg	5420	75		2.20	0.4	0.1	0.08	0.1	0.41	0.12	0.1	2.48	0.14	2.52	0.11	0.48	0.29
n-Butyibenzene	ug/kg	0070	0070		1	3	1	1	1	1	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Nickel (MS)	mg/kg	3070	3070		19.3	27.4	18.3	32.5	18.8	20.5	39.3	26.6	17.3	19.9	16.6	33.3	22	21.9
Propyidenzene	ug/kg	35600000	399000		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	4	1
Total DAHa (USEDA 16)	mg/kg	60600	407		0.012	0.073	0.013	0.01	0.012	0.012	0.015	0.013	0.073	0.013	0.012	0.014	0.021	0.033
TOTAL PARS (USEPA 16)	mg/kg	4500	4500		100	14.0	1.09	1.20	2.73	29.5	2.30	3.57	119.43	7.04	21.9	3.74	22.79	4
Lead Dhanal Inday	mg/kg	1590	1590		410.3	101	408.9	144.7	387	351.4	145.7	95.5	92	235.1	816.6	138.2	229	319.8
Phenoi Index	mg/kg				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.7	0.7
Tetel CDO	mg/kg				21.2	2.12	0.1	0.08	0.29	2.04	0.3	0.47	20	1.5	1.65	0.2	2.05	0.0
Durana	mg/kg	0710			0.2	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.7
Pyrene Solonium (MS)	mg/kg	9710	2.2		23.7	1.93	0.12	0.08	0.29	4.01	0.24	0.44	10.2	0.96	2.94	0.5	3.49	0.5
Selenium (MS)	mg/kg	2320	2320		0.5	0.5	0.5	0.9	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.5
Sec-Butyiberizerie	ug/kg	4220000	607000		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
tropp 1.2 Dichlaroothono	ug/kg	4220000	50000		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
trans 1,2-Dichloropropopo	ug/kg	599000	599000		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Trichlorofluoromethane	ug/kg	╏───┤		<del> </del>	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
tert-Butylbenzene	ug/kg	<del> </del>		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Tetrachloroethene	ug/kg	1310000	115000	<del> </del>	ן פ	і Л	і Л	1	і Л	і А	1	1	733	//18	12	і Л	+2 1	5
Toluene	ma/ka	4340000	410000 Q25	<del> </del>	0.012	4 0.012	4 0.012	0.01	4 0.012	4 0.012	4 0.015	4 0.012	0.012	0.012	0.012	4 0.014	4 0.012	0.033
Trichloroethene	ua/ka	251000	251000	1	7	0.013	1	1	1	1	1	0.013	1	1	6.012 R	1	1	1
Vanadium (MS)	ma/ka	231000	1/10	1	46.4	43.6	23.5	66.2	35.7	30.4	70	45.8	27.2	35.6	44 9	61.8	/ 	30.6
Vinyl Chloride	ua/ka	2450	2/50	1	1		1	1	1	1	13		1	1	1	1	1	1
Zinc (MS)	ma/ka	183000	183000		/ / / /	88	305.8	02.8	132.6	347.0	165.3	80.4	58.2	77 /	170	86.1	150.6	146.8
1 1 2 2-Tetrachloroethana	ua/ka	880000	880000	1	1	1	1	52.0 1	102.0	1	100.0	09.4	1	11.4	1	1	33.0	140.0
Boron	ma/ka	00000	00000	<del> </del>	15	15	13	22	12	10	22	1.8	12	11	16	13	3 1	11
Boron. Benzene	ua/ka	12500	12500	<del> </del>	2	1.5 Q	1.0	<u> </u>	1.2	1.9	3. <u>∠</u> 2	1.0	1. <u>∠</u> 2	1.1	1	1.3	े २	1.1 A
Ethyl Benzene	ug/kg	35200000	42300 508000	<del> </del>	2	5	2	2	2	2	3	2	2	1	2	2	3 2	
	ug/kg ug/kg	58800000	612000	<u> </u>	2 6	11	5	<u> </u>	2 6	<u>۲</u>	5	2 Q	6	5	<u>ک</u> ج	5	5	5
Nanhthalana	ug/kg	5000000	75000	ł	220	155	6	4 8	20	ο Ω	361	24	351	104	0 0	7	10	5
	ug/kg	6000000	10000	ł	223	6	0 2	0 2	20	2	301	24 5	1	204 2	3	2	19	33
	ug/kg	7020000	407000	ł	3 6	6	5	<u>ک</u>	4 6	2	3	5	4 6	6	2		4 7	3
Total Sulphur	uy/ky %	19300000	000000		0 102	0.262	0 101	0 107	0 100	0.260	/	0 175	0 12	0 127	0 167	/	/	/
Total Organic Carbon	70 0/_N//N/	╂────┤		<u> </u>	0.123	0.203	0.191	0.107	0.109	0.200	0.19	0.175	0.13	0.137	0.107	0.329	1 620	0.234
Organic Matter	701VI/1VI 0/_	╂────┤		<u> </u>							<u> </u>	+	0.04				1.029	
	/0			1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0.94		1	1	1	1

Numbers in italics are below method detection limit

Asbestos CH denotes Chrysotile CR denotes Crocidolite

AM denotes Amosite NAIIS No asbestos identified in sample

•	ЦО Ц
	éb
(	r T
	50
) 	N 
	7 D L
	s (/
	<u>lo</u>
-	dat
	len
	Ĕ
	Ö
(	ř
	lgn
	Jes
-	a
•	ptu
	S
(	Ъ С
	ല
•	ctu
	stru
	Iraș
	<b>-</b>
	way
	g
	I.
	nel
	n
	S
	ð
	<u>V</u> e
Ċ	ົກ
:	the
	đ
	par
	าร
	tor
	int
	l me
	ocr
-	s S
i	

		SSVs for Open SSVs	for Open	NIT TP05	NIT TP05	NIT TP07	NIT TP07	NIT TP09	NIT TP09	NT BH01	NT BH01	NT BH01	NT BH02	NT BH02	NT BH02	NT DS01	NT DS01	NT DS02F	NT DS02F
		Space Land Space	e Land Use	0.3 Made Ground	0.8 Made Ground	0.4 Made Ground	2 Made Ground	1.4 Made Ground	3.1 Made Ground	0.5 Made Ground	10 River Terrace	4 Alluvium	13 River Terrace	3 Made Ground	/ River Terrace	2 Made Ground	3.5 Made Ground	1.3 Made Ground	4 1 Made Ground
Determinend	11	product	product	Made Ground							Deposits	Alluvium	Deposits	Made Ground	Deposits	Made Ground	Made Oround	Made Cround	Made Cround
Determinand	Unit			no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no
Asbestos Screen	N/A			CH CR	CH AM	СН	AM	NAIIS	AM	NAIIS	NAIIS	NAIIS	NAIIS	NAIIS	NAIIS	NAIIS	NAIIS	NAIIS	NAIIS
Moisture 105 DegC	%			17.6	11.8	22.3	25.8	26.6	27.6	31	4.8	28.9	12.5	35	65.5	28.4	33	18.6	63.6
pH units (AR)	pH Units			8.7	9.4	8.8	9.7	8.2	9.9	8.4	8.4	7.3	8.8	6.5	5.9	7.2	7.4	7.9	7.4
SO4 (H2O sol) mg/l	mg/kg			627	358	482	592	831	1480	2560	158	238	229	3660	489	4780	5470	3770	4920
1,1,1,2- I etrachloroethane	ug/kg	660000	660000	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	1	1	1	3
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ug/kg ug/kg	20300000	506000	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	1	1	1	3
1,1-Dichloropropene	ug/kg	000000	000000	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	1	1	1	3
1,1-Dichloroethane	ug/kg	57400000	1620000	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	1	1	1	3
1,1-Dichloroethene	ug/kg	1280000	1280000	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	1	1	1	3
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ug/kg			4	3	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	3	5	9	4	4	4	8
1,2,3-Trichloropenzene	ug/kg ug/kg			1	1	1	1	12	1	1	1	3	1	2	3	1	1	1	3
1.2.4-Trimethylbenzene	ug/kg ug/kg	149000	149000	1	1	1	11	11	4	1	1	1	1	8	12	1	1	9	3
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropa	ug/kg			1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	1	1	1	3
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ug/kg			8	54	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	1	1	1	3
1,2-Dichloroethane	ug/kg	8050	8050	2	7	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	1	1	1	3
CIS 1,2-DICNIOROethene	ug/kg	254000	254000	4	3	4	4	1	1	3	2	2	0	3	6	3	3	2	5
1.2-Dichloropropane	ug/kg	51700	51700	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	1	1	1	3
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	ug/kg	01100	0.1.00	1	1	1	1	7	3	1	1	1	1	5	6	1	1	6	3
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ug/kg			1	5	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	1	1	1	3
cis 1,3-Dichloropropene	ug/kg			1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	1	1	1	3
1,3-Dichloropropane	ug/kg			1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	1	1	1	3
2 2-Dichloropropane	ug/kg ug/kg			2	5	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	1	1	1	3
2-Chlorotoluene	ug/kg			1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	1	1	1	3
4-Chlorotoluene	ug/kg			1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	1	1	1	3
p-Isopropyltoluene	ug/kg			1	5	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	1	16	1	3
Acenaphthylene	mg/kg	40.400	457	0.2	0.09	0.1	1.79	0.11	0.12	0.13	0.08	0.08	0.09	1.14	0.23	0.11	0.12	4.68	0.25
Acenaphtnene	mg/kg mg/kg	18400	157	0.41	0.15	0.97	0.49	0.22	2.13	0.3	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.22	0.23	0.11	0.12	61.7	2.64
Aliphatics >C10 - C12	ma/ka	21700	49.9	5	5	5	5	5.57	6	6	4	4	5	6	12	6	6	5	11
Aliphatics >C12 - C16	mg/kg	21700	21	153	13.9	12.1	13.9	5.57	39	6	4	4	5	6	12	6	6	5	11
Aliphatics >C16 - C21	mg/kg			1010	54	49.3	27.8	7.51	102.5	11.52	4	4	5	6	12	5.68	6.81	18.3	20.16
Aliphatics >C21 - C35	mg/kg		======	6250	268	238	38	16.1	410	42.6	9.2	8.76	10.01	13.48	35.9	110.6	60.6	133	495
Aliphatics >C16 - 35	mg/kg	590000	590000	7260	322	287.3	65.8	23.61	512.5	54.12	13.2	12.76	15.01	19.48	47.9	116.28	67.41	151.3	515.16
Anumacene Aromatics >C8 - C10	ma/ka	8040	<u> </u>	5	0.33	5	5	5	6	6	0.08 4	4	0.33 5	6	12	6	6	5	9.76
Aromatics >C10 - C12	mg/kg	8560	370	5	5	5	9.49	5	6	6	4	4	5	6	12	6	6	41.9	11
Aromatics >C12 - C16	mg/kg	8700	155	33.3	8.11	9.19	18	10.68	34	6	4	4	5	17.5	14.26	6	6	415	21.62
Aromatics >C16 - C21	mg/kg	5380	5380	257	31.4	56	72.1	27.4	108	41.2	4	4	5	128.6	41.2	11.9	7.54	4090	165
Aromatics >C21 - C35 Arsenic (MS)	ing/kg	5380	5380	2900 14 4	0.0	201 11 0	∠18 17	/4./ 12.7	413	72.2	9.2	8.76 14.6	10.01	255	120	55 303 3	18.1	<u>9150</u> 65.7	409 28
Barium	ma/ka	5240	5240	206	102	100.5	192	103.7	133	232	26.9	74	28.3	256	72	35.9	71.7	56.9	48.5
Beryllium	mg/kg	903	903	0.61	0.37	0.58	0.94	0.99	0.91	1.15	0.3	1.48	0.31	1.06	1.15	1.71	1.72	0.49	0.9
Benzo(a)anthracene	mg/kg	28.7	1.71	12.3	0.93	3.63	8.37	0.4	3.5	5.1	0.08	0.08	0.55	15.31	1.39	1.15	0.33	301	16.57
Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/kg	3.7	0.911	14.7	0.94	2.8	8.6	0.38	2.53	4.68	0.08	0.08	0.33	9.52	0.93	0.8	0.19	227	12.31
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	mg/kg mg/kg	35.5	1.22	18.6	1.2	3.56	11	0.42	3.2	5.32	0.08	0.08	0.53	15.02	1.2	1.45	0.37	267	13.54
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ma/ka	381	0.0107	5 47	0.73	1.13	3 79	0.20	1.34	2.00	0.08	0.08	0.21	3.09 6	0.52	0.40	0.12	97.8	4.40
Benzene	mg/kg	42.5	42.5	0.012	0.011	0.013	0.05	0.034	0.014	0.014	0.011	0.01	0.011	0.015	0.029	0.014	0.015	0.012	0.027
Bromobenzene	ug/kg	642000	642000	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	1	1	1	3
Bromochloromethane	ug/kg			1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	1	1	1	3
Bromotorm	ug/kg	1930000	1930000	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	1	1	1	3
Bromodichloromethane	ug/kg ug/kg	22200	22200	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	1	1	1	3
C6-C7	mg/ka		22200	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.7	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.6	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.5
C7-C8	mg/kg			0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.7	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.6	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.5
>C8 - C10	mg/kg			0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.7	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.6	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.5
C5-C6	mg/kg	47000000	05/06-	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.7	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.6	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.5
Chiorobenzene	ug/kg	17900000	651000	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	1	1	1	3

Notes:

Numbers in italics are below method detection limit

Asbestos CH denotes Chrysotile CR denotes Crocidolite

AM denotes Amosite NAIIS No asbestos identified in sample

		SSVs for Open	SSVs for Open	NIT TP05						NT BH01	NT BH01	NT BH01	NT BH02	NT BH02	NT BH02	NT DS01	NT DS01	NT DS02E	NT DS02E
		Space Land	Space Land Use	0.3	0.8	0.4	2	1.4	3.1	0.5	10	4	13	3	7	2	3.5	1.3	4
		Use - no free	- free product	Made Ground	River Terrace	Alluvium	River Terrace	Made Ground	River Terrace	Made Ground	Made Ground	Made Ground	Made Ground						
Determinand	Unit	product									Deposits		Deposits		Deposits				
Dotorminana	0			no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no							
Codmium (MS)	ma/ka	92.6	92.6	0.64	0.64	0.24	0.29	0.27	0.2	1 27	0.2	0.25	0.26	0.20	0.5	0.42	0.2	0.62	0.4
Chloroethane	ua/ka	988000000	2440000	2	2	.24	0.36	3	0.3	4.37	0.2	2	2	.3	6	3	.3	2	5
Chloroform	ug/kg ug/ka	1390000	1390000	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	1	1	1	3
Chloromethane	ug/kg	48000	48000	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	3	5	9	4	4	4	8
Chromium (MS)	mg/kg	729	729	25	14.8	25	24.5	38.1	33	36	10	44.6	13.1	8.2	26.9	19.9	40.4	20.5	25.8
Chrysene	mg/kg	3010	0.44	12.5	0.94	3.44	7.06	0.3	2.61	3.96	0.08	0.08	0.49	12.09	1.04	1.17	0.3	270	13.57
Carbon Tetrachloride	ug/kg	62800	62800	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	1	1	1	3
Copper (IVIS)	mg/kg	40600	40600	85.7	45.4	30.2	63.3	31.7	49	82.8	5.3	14.4	61.1	241.7	49.1	56.3	35.3	21.2	19.3
Dibenzo(a h)anthracene	ma/ka	3.96	0 00393	2 57	0.17	0.46	1.63	0.11	0.39	0.68	0.08	0.08	0.09	16	023	0.15	0.12	43.9	17
Dibromochloromethane	ua/ka	137000	137000	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	1	1	1	3
Dibromomethane	ug/kg			1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	1	1	1	3
Ethyl Benzene	mg/kg	35200	508	0.012	0.011	0.013	0.013	0.034	0.014	0.014	0.011	0.01	0.011	0.015	0.029	0.014	0.015	0.012	0.027
ron	mg/kg			34400	17300	21300	32500	32200	32800	37500	13100	30500	17600	64000	32800	25100	42500	16900	23100
luorene	mg/kg	12600	125	0.16	0.18	1.04	1.37	0.23	1.96	0.26	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.68	0.35	0.11	0.12	107	5.03
Iuoranthene	mg/kg	13000	18.9	11.42	2.2	8.2	15.9	0.98	8.07	10.96	0.08	0.08	1	38.8	3.59	2.05	0.67	720	37.1
	ug/kg ma/ka	13	13	2	2	0.52	0.87	0.52	0.54	0.54	2	2	2	0.5	0.53	0.6	0.5	2	0.46
ndeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ma/ka	34.4	0.0614	10.53	0.82	1.76	7.4	0.3	1.74	3.57	0.08	0.08	0.21	5.45	0.41	0.6	0.12	125	6.57
so-Propylbenzene	ug/kg	34900000	388000	1	1	1	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	1	12	1	3
ИТВЕ	ug/kg	85500000	17400000	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	1	1	1	3
n and p-Xylene	mg/kg	58800	613	0.012	0.011	0.013	0.015	0.034	0.014	0.014	0.011	0.01	0.011	0.015	0.029	0.014	0.015	0.012	0.027
laphthalene	mg/kg	5420	75	0.16	0.16	0.49	10.43	0.18	1.44	0.12	0.08	0.08	0.09	1.26	0.23	0.11	0.12	41.9	2.28
-Butylbenzene	ug/kg	0070	0.070	1	1	1	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	1	1	9	3
NICKEI (MS)	mg/kg	3070	3070	21.7	13	15.6	30.2	40	36	27	9.5	36.5	11.8	20.3	42.7	22.1	29.3	13.4	30.9
	ug/kg ma/ka	33600000	399000 467	0.012	0.011	0.013	0.013	0.034	0.014	0.014	0.011	0.01	0.011	2 0.015	0.029	0.014	0.015	0.012	0.027
Total PAHs (USEPA 16)	ma/ka	00000	407	113.8	12.65	44.61	110.66	5.67	45.61	52.33	1.34	1.28	6.13	175.48	16	11.41	3.76	3972.25	200.16
.ead	mg/kg	1590	1590	354	131.1	112.3	396.4	58.3	158	478.8	4.7	28.4	50.8	4350	146.2	89.7	37	118.6	23.9
henol Index	mg/kg			0.6	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.8	1.4	0.7	0.7	0.6	1.4
Phenanthrene	mg/kg			1.69	1.41	7.27	11.01	0.63	7.49	2.87	0.08	0.08	0.86	27.4	2.23	1.06	0.37	859	41
Total GRO	mg/kg	0710		0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.7	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.6	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.5
Pyrene	mg/kg	9710	2.2	12.3	1.94	6.41	12.5	0.78	6.1	8.36	0.08	0.08	0.79	26.8	2.14	1.27	0.4	554	28.3
ec-Butylbenzene	ua/ka	2320	2320	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.7	0.9	0.5	1.1	0.5	1.5	0.5	2.1	3.5	0.8	0.9	1	2.3
Styrene	ug/kg ug/ka	4220000	607000	1	1	1	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	1	1	1	3
rans 1,2-Dichloroethene	ug/kg	599000	599000	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	1	1	1	3
rans 1,3-Dichloropropene	ug/kg			1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	1	1	1	3
richlorofluoromethane	ug/kg			2	88	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	1	1	1	3
ert-Butylbenzene	ug/kg	40.400.00	445000	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	1	1	1	3
	ug/kg	4340000	415000	10	3	5	4	27	21	4	3	3	3	5	9	4	4	4	8
	ing/kg	251000	030 251000	0.012	0.011	0.013	0.013	0.034	0.014	0.014	0.011	0.07	0.011	0.015	0.032	0.014	0.015	0.012	0.027
/anadium (MS)	ma/ka	1410	1410	32.2	16.6	32	51.5	56	48.8	61.7	15	70.2	18.4	47.5	46.9	33.6	65.8	22.7	46
/inyl Chloride	ug/kg	2450	2450	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	1	1	1	3
Zinc (MS)	mg/kg	183000	183000	212.7	249	87.1	151.7	94	127.4	221.9	17.1	85	78.6	160.5	97	337.9	154.6	62.2	55
,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ug/kg	880000	880000	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	1	1	1	3
Boron.	mg/kg		10	0.7	0.5	0.5	0.5	2.2	1.8	5.1	0.6	4.4	0.5	4.2	34	2	4.5	1	16.3
Benzene	ug/kg	42500	42500	1	1	1	109	10	1	3	1	1	1	6	17	3	3	7	8
n and p-Xylene	ug/kg	35200000	508000	2	2	3	24	10	3 6	<u> </u>	2	2	2	3	6 25	3 6	3	5	5
Japhthalene	ug/kg	5420000	75000	11	23	6	6740	281	213	13		5	6	14	209	8	13	2310	102
-Xylene	ug/ka	60800000	467000	2	2	3	7	12	3	3	2	2	2	8	14	3	3	10	8
oluene	ug/kg	79300000	835000	6	6	6	7	23	7	7	5	5	6	8	41	7	7	6	14
otal Sulphur.	%			0.152	0.078	0.351	0.218	0.604	0.41	0.144	0.072	0.072	0.128	1.854	2.326	0.567	0.615	2.01	1.95
otal Organic Carbon	%M/M						7.18												
Drganic Matter	%																		12.95

Notes:

Numbers in italics are below method detection limit

Asbestos CH denotes Chrysotile

CR denotes Crocidolite AM denotes Amosite NAIIS No asbestos identified in sample

		SSVs for Open	SSVs for Open	NT TP03	NT TP03	NT TP03	NT TP04	NT TP04
		<mark>Space Land</mark>	Space Land Use	0.5	0.7	1.45	0.7	1.6
		<mark>Use - no free</mark>	- free product	Made Ground				
Determinand	Unit	product						
				no	no	no	no	no
chactor Scroon	NI/A			NAUS	<u></u>	NAUS	NAUS	NAUS
Apicture 105 DegC	N/A 0/			12 /	15	17.2	10.2	1NAII3
Hupite (AP)	70 nH L Inite			12.4	10.4	0	87	23.0
$O_{4}(H_{2}O_{3}O_{4})$ mg/l	ma/ka			472	4250	5520	5360	1740
.1.1.2-Tetrachloroethane	ua/ka	660000	660000	1	1	1	1	1
.1.1-Trichloroethane	ua/ka	203000000	1380000	1	1	1	1	1
,1,2-Trichloroethane	ug/kg	506000	506000	1	1	1	1	1
,1-Dichloropropene	ug/kg			1	1	1	1	1
,1-Dichloroethane	ug/kg	57400000	1620000	1	1	1	1	1
,1-Dichloroethene	ug/kg	1280000	1280000	1	1	1	1	1
,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ug/kg			3	4	4	4	4
,2,3-Trichloropropane	ug/kg			1	1	1	1	1
,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ug/kg			3	4	4	4	4
,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ug/kg	149000	149000	1	6	7	1	5
,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropa	ug/kg			1	1	1	1	1
,2-Dichlorobenzene	ug/kg	0050	0050	1	1	1	1	1
,2-Dichloroethane	ug/kg	8050	8050	1	1	1	1	1
2 Dibromoothono	ug/kg	254000	254000	6	6	6	6	1
2 Dichloropropago	ug/kg	51700	51700	1	1	1	1	1
3.5-Trimethylbenzene	ug/kg ug/kg	51700	51700	1	1	7	1	1
3-Dichlorobenzene	ug/kg ug/kg			1	4	1	1	1
is 1.3-Dichloropropene	ug/kg ug/kg			1	1	1	1	1
.3-Dichloropropane	ug/kg ug/kg			1	1	1	1	1
.4-Dichlorobenzene	ua/ka			1	1	1	1	1
2,2-Dichloropropane	ug/kg			1	1	1	1	1
-Chlorotoluene	ug/kg			1	1	1	1	1
-Chlorotoluene	ug/kg			1	1	1	1	1
o-Isopropyltoluene	ug/kg			1	1	1	1	8
Acenaphthylene	mg/kg			0.22	0.68	6.15	0.1	0.1
Acenaphthene	mg/kg	18400	157	0.47	3.3	4.79	0.1	0.12
liphatics >C8 - C10	mg/kg	21600	82.5	5	5	5	5	5
Aliphatics >C10 - C12	mg/kg	21700	49.9	5	5	5	5	5
Aliphatics >C12 - C16	mg/kg	21700	21	4.71	5	5.47	5	10.1
Aliphatics >C16 - C21	mg/kg			18.2	10.39	12.8	5	5
Aliphatics >C21 - C35	mg/kg	500000	500000	102.3	40	26.5	16	11.47
Aliphatics >C 16 - 35	mg/kg	590000	2 49	120.5	50.39	39.3	21	16.47
Aromatics >C8 - C10	mg/kg	90000	5.40 61/	5	4.74	40.5	0.2	0.5
1000000000000000000000000000000000000	ma/ka	8560	370	5	6.78	17.9	5	5
1000000000000000000000000000000000000	ma/ka	8700	155	611	28	105	5	10.14
romatics > C16 - C21	ma/ka	5380	5380	57	141	2220	9.52	35.5
romatics >C21 - C35	mg/kg	5380	5380	279	442	8380	61.1	72.1
Arsenic (MS)	mg/kg	138	138	111.1	143	510.6	0.5	356.9
Barium	mg/kg	5240	5240	75.1	109	192	244	145
Beryllium	mg/kg	903	903	0.52	1.59	2.3	2.24	0.64
Benzo(a)anthracene	mg/kg	28.7	1.71	12.4	20.1	495	1.51	1.54
Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/kg	3.7	0.911	10.41	16.9	209	1.51	1
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	mg/kg	35.5	1.22	13	19.1	353	2.26	1.7
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	mg/kg	451	0.0187	5.9	9.05	79.6	1.25	0.75
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	mg/kg	381	0.686	3.66	5.92	95	0.76	0.67
	mg/kg	42.5	42.5	0.011	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.013
	ug/kg	642000	642000	1	1	1	1	1
Bromoform	ug/kg ug/kg	1030000	1020000	1	1	1	1	1
Bromomethane	ug/kg ug/kg	1930000	1930000	1	1	1	1	1
Bromodichloromethane	ua/ka	22200	22200	1	1	1	1	1
C6-C7	ma/ka	22200	00	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3
7-C8	mg/ka			0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3
•C8 - C10	mg/kg			0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3
C5-C6	mg/kg			0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3
Chlorobenzene	ug/kg	17900000	651000	1	1	1	1	1

Notes:

 $\left( \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \right)$ 

Numbers in italics are below method detection limit

Asbestos

CH denotes Chrysotile

CR denotes Crocidolite AM denotes Amosite

NAIIS No asbestos identified in sample
Determinand		Sava for Open	SSVs for Open	NT TP03	NT TP03	NT TP03	NT TP04	NT TP04
Determinand		Space Land	Space Land Use	0.5 Made Crawad	0.7	1.45	0.7	1.6 Mode Crever d
Determinand	11. 24	product	- rree product	wade Ground	wade Ground	wade Ground	wade Ground	wade Ground
(MO)	Unit			no	no	no	no	no
admium (IVIS)	na/ka	83.6	83.6	0.36	1 23	0.8	02	0.68
Chloroethane u	ug/kg	988000000	2440000	2	2	2	2	3
chloroform u	ug/kg	1390000	1390000	1	1	1	1	3
hloromethane u	ug/kg	48000	48000	3	4	4	4	4
Chromium (MS)	ng/kg	729	729	16.9	20.5	22.3	1.2	10.2
arbon Tetrachloride	Ja/ka	62800	62800	1	1	401	1.00	1.0
copper (MS)	ng/kg	40600	40600	50.2	87.5	256.3	1.6	857.3
ichlorodifluoromethane u	ug/kg			1	1	1	1	1
ibenzo(a,h)anthracene n	ng/kg	3.96	0.00393	1.95	3.09	28.8	0.34	0.27
ibromochloromethane u	ug/kg	137000	137000	1	1	1	1	1
thyl Benzene	na/ka	35200	508	0.011	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.013
on n	ng/kg	00200		17800	23300	41800	62100	53000
luorene n	ng/kg	12600	125	0.32	2.79	12.2	0.1	0.16
luoranthene n	ng/kg	13000	18.9	19	37.5	1400	2.53	2.96
exachlorobutadiene u	ug/kg	4.0	10	2	2	2	2	3
ndepo(1.2.3-cd)pyropo	ng/Kg	4.3	4.3	0.5	0.55 10 / P	1.73	U.5 1 15	1.59
o-Propylbenzene	Ja/ka	34900000	388000	1	10.40	1	1.15	0.73
ITBE U	ug/kg	85500000	17400000	1	1	1	1	1
n and p-Xylene n	ng/kg	58800	613	0.011	0.02	0.012	0.012	0.013
laphthalene n	ng/kg	5420	75	0.18	4.55	15.5	0.1	0.1
-Butylbenzene u	ug/kg			1	1	1	1	1
lickel (MS)	ng/kg	3070	3070	15.6	93	47.8	2	16.3
-Xvlene n	ug/kg ma/ka	30600000	399000	0.011	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.013
otal PAHs (USEPA 16)	ng/kg na/ka	00000		113.39	213.19	4861	16.63	17.03
ead n	ng/kg	1590	1590	107	216.3	443.8	0.7	557.1
henol Index n	ng/kg			0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.7
henanthrene n	ng/kg			7.13	26.4	364	0.93	2.57
otal GRO n	ng/kg	0710		0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3
elenium (MS)	ng/kg na/ka	2320	2.2	0.5	0.8	1210	2.14	2.21
ec-Butvlbenzene	Ja/ka	2320	2320	1	1	1	1	1
tyrene u	ug/kg	4220000	607000	1	2	1	1	3
ans 1,2-Dichloroethene u	ug/kg	599000	599000	1	1	1	1	1
ans 1,3-Dichloropropene u	ug/kg			1	1	1	1	1
richlorofluoromethane u	ug/kg			1	1	1	1	1
etrachloroethene	ug/kg ug/kg	4340000	415000	3	4	4	7	16
oluene	ng/kg	79300	835	0.011	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.013
richloroethene u	ug/kg	251000	251000	1	1	1	4	10
anadium (MS) n	ng/kg	1410	1410	29.4	42.7	67.9	0.6	28
inyl Chloride u	ug/kg	2450	2450	1	1	1	1	1
inc (MS) n	ng/kg	183000	183000	55	193.7	291	15.9	245.1
oron n	na/ka	00000	880000	0.5	0.5	17	42	34
enzene	ug/kg	42500	42500	1	2	2	1	1
thyl Benzene u	ug/kg	35200000	508000	2	4	5	5	8
n and p-Xylene u	ug/kg	58800000	613000	5	14	19	16	24
laphthalene u	ug/kg	5420000	75000	57	209	823	17	318
Vulana	ug/Kg	60800000	467000	2	8 7	10	6	10
-Xylene u	29/119 %	19300000	635000	0.181	0.227	0.542	0.843	0.816
-Xylene u oluene u otal Sulphur.		1		0.96	5,	510.2	5.0.0	5.0.0
-Xylene u oluene u otal Sulphur. 9 otal Organic Carbon 9	%M/M				i			1

Notes:

Numbers in italics are below method detection limit

Asbestos CH denotes Chrysotile CR denotes Crocidolite

AM denotes Amosite NAIIS No asbestos identified in sample

# Appendix D. CAT-WASTE<sup>SOIL</sup> Output

#### Classification Assessment Tool of Soil Wastes - Hazard Summary Sheet

M Ardle **ATKINS** 

Site Name	Silvertown Tunnel Crossing
Location	London
Site ID	F1
Job Number	5111977
Date	3/18/2013 1:17:47 PM
User Name	gemma.buss@atkinsglobal.com
Company Name	Atkins

CAT-WASTE<sup>SOIL</sup>

Hole ID	Sample Depth	Hazardous Waste Y/N	H1	H2	H3A	H3B	H4	H5	H6	H7	H8	H9	H10	H11	H12	H13	H14	H15
NIT BH01	0.5m	N	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
NIT BH09A	2.6m	N	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
NIT BH09G	4.7m	N	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
NIT TP05	0.3m	N	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
NIT TP07	2.0m	N	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
NIT BH01	0.5m	N	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
NT BH02	3.0m	Y	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No
NT DS01	2.0m	N	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
NT DS02F	1.3m	Y	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No
NT DS02F	4.0m	N	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
NT TP03	0.5m	N	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
NT TP03	0.7m	N	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
NT TP03	1.45m	Y	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No
NT TP04	1.6m	N	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No

Atkins Limited Epsom Gateway 2 Ashley Avenue Epsom Surrey KT18 5AL

© Atkins Ltd except where stated otherwise.

The Atkins logo, 'Carbon Critical Design' and the strapline 'Plan Design Enable' are trademarks of Atkins Ltd.

### Appendix E: Works Programme and Construction Phasing Drawings

### Title

Silvertown Tunnel: Tunnelling and Highways Programme NORTH5C – Construction Sequence Phase 1 NORTH5C – Construction Sequence Phase 2 NORTH5C – Construction Sequence Phase 3 SOUTH4A – Construction Sequence Phase 1 SOUTH4A – Construction Sequence Phase 2 SOUTH4A – Construction Sequence Phase 3

SOUTH4A – Construction Sequence Phase 4

### Reference

5110309-HW-GA-0220 5110309-HW-GA-0221 5110309-HW-GA-0222 5110309-HW-UT-0119 5110309-HW-UT-0120 5110309-HW-UT-0121 5110309-HW-UT-0122

Silve	ertown Crossing	Study																										
Acti	vity ID	Activity Name	Remaining	Start	Finish	Total	20	016					2	2017	7					2	018			1			2019	9
	-		Duration			Float	ΜJ	JA	SON	DJ	FN	M A	Μ.	JJ	Α	sc		J	FMA	M	JJA	s	ЗИС	2 J	FΜ	AN	ΛJJ	JA
	Silvertown	Crossing Study	1197	30-Jun-16	13-May-21	0																						
	Key Dates		1197	30-Jun-16	13-May-21	0																						
	KD.1000	Award of Design & Build Contract (Indicative)	0	30-Jun-16*		0		🔶 Awar	d of De	sign &	Buil	ld Co	ontra	ct (I	Indic	ative	e)											
	KD.1010	Start on Site (Indicative)	0	09-Jan-17*		0	İΓ				Star	t on	Site	(Ind	licat	ive)												
	KD.1020	Construction Completion & Handover	0		13-May-21	0																						
	Design		617	30-Jun-16	07-Jan-19	360																		+			·	
	A1000	Initial Design - Tunnel Rings, D-walls & Piles	126	30-Jun-16	23-Dec-16	15	-	-	; ;		hitial I	Desi	ign -	Tun	nel	Ring	s, D⊦v	valls 8	k Pilės									
	A1010	Complete Civil Design	365	09-Jan-17	28-Jun-18	217											· ·				Cor	, nplet	e Civil	Desi	gn			
	A1020	Complete Architectural and M&E Design	365	10-Jul-17	07-Jan-19	360							i il					: :		<u> </u>	·			<b>–</b> (	Comp	lete /	Archite	ectur
	Preconstru	iction	156	30-Jun-16	17-Feb-17	15																						
	PC1000	Prepare TBM Specification, etc. for procurement	100	19-Sep-16	17-Feb-17	15		÷÷t				Prep	are 1	твм	/ Sp	ecific	ation	etc.	fo'r p'ro	ouren	nent	L L .						
	PC1010	Prepare Documentation, Statutory Applications, Execution Plans, Te	126	30-Jun-16	23-Dec-16	0					repa	ire D	ocur	, men	tatic	n. Si	tatuto	rv Apr	lication	ns. Ex	ecution	i Plai	ns. Te	noom	arv V	Vork	s. etc.	
	PC1020	Mobilise Staff, sub-contracts, etc.	126	30-Jun-16	23-Dec-16	0					lobilis	se S	taff, s	sub	-cor	htrac	ts, etc							11				
	Tunnel Wo	rks	1007	24-Dec-16	09-Feb-21	64																		įį				
	Construction	n Procurement	421	24-Dec-16	17-Eeb-18	110																						
	PB 1000	TBM Procurement	365	18-Feb-17	17-Eeb-18	22									+		-			/ Proc	- ¦ ¦	ht !						
	PR 1010	Segment Procurement	365	24-Dec-16	23-Dec-17	78						i.	: :	1	: :		1 1	l Se	ament	Proci	iremen	nt i						
	PR 1020	SGI Segment Procurement (Opening Sets)	365	24-Dec-16	23-Dec-17	166							<u>:</u>	1	1		i i	L SC	l Sear	nent F	Proclure	men	t (One	nind	Sets			
	Construction		1007	09-Jan-17	09-Feb-21	64													. cog.									
	Silvertown		992	09-Jan-17	19-Jan-21	79						i																
	Site Prepara	atory Works	295	09-Jan-17	16-Mar-18	776					{		·¦¦- · 		++												·	
	ST.1050	Site set up (Offices, Welfare, Power,etc.)	80	09-Jan-17	03-May-17	0							<b>Š</b> it	ite se	et u	p (Öl	ffices,	Welf	are, Po	ower,e	etc.)							
	ST.1060	Construct Hard Standing & Crane Base	40	06-Feb-17	31-Mar-17	0				5			Çons	struc	t Ha	ard S	tandir	ng & (	rane E	Base				ii				
	ST.1070	Establish Bentonite Plant & Mobilise Piling Rigs	20	06-Mar-17	31-Mar-17	0					5	E	İstab	olish	ı Ber	htohi	te Pla	nt&N	lobilise	e <b>P</b> iling	Rigs							
	ST.1080	Erect Spoil Conveyor & Handling Facilities	45	15-Jan-18	16-Mar-18	20												<b>÷</b> E	E 🛑	rect S	Spoil Co	'nvėy	/or&I	land	ing F	aciliti	es	
	ST.1090	Demobilise Bentonite Plant & D-wall Rigs	20	05-Feb-18	02-Mar-18	786			L									<b>[</b>	📕 Þe	mobil	is e Ber	tonit	e Plan	t & D	-wall	Rigs		
	TBM Launc	h Chamber	582	03-Apr-17	12-Aug-19	9																						
	ST.1100	Install Secant Piles	80	03-Apr-17	27-Jul-17	0					4		!!		Ir	stall	Seca	nt Pile	S									
	ST.1110	Excavate Launch Chamber	80	28-Jul-17	17-Nov-17	0									—		<b>-</b>	Excav	ate Lai	unich	Chamb	er						
	ST.1120	Trim, Blind and Cast Base Slab	30	20-Nov-17	12-Jan-18	0							, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						rim, B	lind a	nd Cas	t Bas	se Slat	) 				·
	ST.1130	Form Tunnel Portals	20	15-Jan-18	09-Feb-18	0													Forn	n Tun	nelPor	tals						
	ST.1140	Construct Roof	40	18-Jun-19	12-Aug-19	9						Ì																
	Cut and Co	ver	652	28-Jul-17	24-Mar-20	20											<u> </u>					i. Eur		<b>.</b>				
	ST.1150	Install Diaphragm Walls - Full Height Rig (1No. rig)	75	28-JUI-17	10-INOV-17	25									<u>}</u> ;		in		piaphra	agm v (oNn	valls -	Full	leight	Rig (	TINO.	rig)		
	ST.1100	Install Secant Piles (2N0.Figs)	30	20-JUI-17	12 Jon 19	10						·					stall S			(2110. Janhi	ngs)				ht Dic			
	ST.1170		75	16 Oct 17	00 Ech 19	10						i	i i				1 1								it nig			
	ST 1190	Trim Blind and Cast Base Slab	75	30-Oct-17	23-Eeb-18	10										Ľ	-	11		n 'Blir	out a c	Caet	Base	9lah				
	ST 1200		100	13-Aug-19	14-Jan-20	9												1	<b>1</b>			<u>vasi</u>	Dase	Jiay			<u>  </u>	
	ST 1210	Construct Blockwork Walls	80	09-Oct-19	11-Feb-20	20						1																
	ST.1220	Apply wall finish	30	12-Feb-20	24-Mar-20	20									·			· ·										
	Retained C	1 + + + + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + -	50	13-Nov-17	02-Feb-18	207																						
	ST.1230	Install Diaphragm Walls - Full Height Rig (2No.)	50	13-Nov-17	02-Feb-18	207										l	+	<u>''</u>	Instal	l Diap	hragm	Wall	s - Fu	ll Hei	ght R	ig (2	No.)	
	Tunnel Serv	vices Building (STSB Building)	225	19-Feb-20	19-Jan-21	79						ļ						Ì										
	ST.1260	Excavate, Trim, Blind and Cast Base Slab	25	19-Feb-20	24-Mar-20	9																						
	ST.1270	Construct Walls	30	11-Mar-20	23-Apr-20	9																						
	ST.1280	Construct Roof	30	24-Apr-20	08-Jun-20	9						-			-													
	ST.1290	Internal Works & Finishes	60	09-Jun-20	01-Sep-20	9																						
	ST.1300	Install Equipment and General M&E Fitout	80	04-Aug-20	24-Nov-20	9																						
	ST.1310	Construct Access Road, Parking & General Landscaping	30	25-Nov-20	19-Jan-21	79																  !						
	Vent Station		195	15-Jan-20	20-Oct-20	14																						
	ST.1320	Excavate, Irim, Blind and Cast Base Slab	25	15-Jan-20	18-Feb-20	9																						

b

Silvertown Crossing Study



Page 1 of 3

										20	20								1	9-A	pr-	13	
A	S	0	Ν	D	J	F	М	A	М	J	20 J	A	S	0	Ν	D	J	F	M	A	М	J	Γ
											-												
																				-[	<b>◆</b>	Co	۶r
. <b>.</b>			/	o ۲							-												
lui	ai	anc		αE	De	SI	<u>]ri</u>																
										1													
																							1 -
																				_			
3	Co	ns	tru	ct F	Roc	f																	
-						Сс	ons	tru	ct I	Rod	<b>r</b> f												
	<b>.</b>					]	Co	ns	tru	ct E	loc	kw	orl	<	/all	s							
					-		=	A	ppl	y w	all	fini	sh										
					ſ			Е	xca	iva	e,	Tri	n,	Blir	nd a	and	Ca	st	Ba	se	Sla	b	
-						L	-		С	on	trı Co	ıct ns⁺	Wa	alls t F	00	f		_	-			-	
									5					nte	rna	l V	/or	ks	& I	Fihi	she	es	
											L			[		lr	sta	ull E	qu	ipn -	nen	ta Aci	n
	-   -																						)_!
				4			Ē	кса	vat	e, ]	rir	ni E	Blin	d a	nd	Ca	st	Ba	se	Sla	b		_
					(	C																	
									N			•				• <b>Г</b>							
									1	10	)(	L	IV	10	10				10		U		

Silv	ertown Crossing	Study	i		_	1	_																				
Acti	vity ID	Activity Name	Remaining Duration	Start	Finish	Total   Float	2 M	2016	Islo	ND	JF		20 M .I	17 JA	Islo	ND		F M 4	2 M.I.I		AS			FМ	2 A M	2019	A
	ST.1330	Construct Walls	50	19-Feb-20	30-Apr-20	14																<u> </u>	<u>, 1 - †</u>				<u> </u>
	ST.1340	Construct Roof	30	01-May-20	15-Jun-20	14																					
	ST.1350	Vent Stack	30	16-Jun-20	27-Jul-20	14																					
	ST.1360	Install Equipment and General M&E Fitout	60	28-Jul-20	20-Oct-20	14			+						+					+- <b>+</b> +-							•
	Greenwich		787	13-Mar-17	22-May-20	239																					
	Site Prepara	atory Works	429	13-Mar-17	29-Nov-18	142																					
	GR.1000	Site set up (Offices, Welfare, Power,etc.)	40	13-Mar-17	10-May-17	7					İ	-	] Site	e set i	up (Of	icės,	Wel	fare, F	ower,e	tc.)							
	GR.1010	Construct Hard Standing	40	10-Apr-17	08-Jun-17	7						╎╵╾		Const	truot H	ard S	Stand	ing									
	GR.1020	Establish Bentonite Plant & Mobilise Piling Rigs	20	11-May-17	08-Jun-17	7								Estab	lish Be	ntoni	te Pl	ant & M	/lobilis	a Pilin	ıg Ri	js					
	GR.1030	Demobilise Bentonite Plant & D-wall Rigs	20	02-Nov-18	29-Nov-18	142																► <u></u>	Dem	oþilise	Behto	onite Pl	'la
	TBM Recep	tion Chamber	522	09-Jun-17	22-Jul-19	105																					
	GR.1040	Install Diaphragm Walls - Full Height Rig (2No.)	50	09-Jun-17	17-Aug-17	7	_								Instal	l Diap	ohrag	im Wa	lls - Fι	ull Hei	ight F	Rig (2N	0.)				
	GR.1050	Excavate Reception Chamber	30	18-Aug-17	29-Sep-17	216										xcav	ate R	lecept	on Ch	ambe	er¦ ¦						
	GR.1060	Trim, Blind and Cast Base Slab	20	02-Oct-17	27-Oct-17	216	_									Trin	n, Bli	nd and	l Gast	Base	Şlab						
	GR.1070	Form Tunnel Portals	20	30-Oct-17	24-Nov-17	216	_								· · •	<b>■</b> ¦_F	orm	Tunne	Porta	uls							
	GR.1080	Construct Roof	25	18-Jun-19	22-Jul-19	105																					C
	Cut and Co	ver	677	18-Aug-17	22-May-20	20												1 1	- 11 D					:			
	GR.1100	Install Diaphragm Walls - Full Height Rig (2NO.)	120	18-Aug-17	16-Feb-18	/			+						+			j inst	all'Dia	onrag	Im vv			ignt R	19 (21)	0,)	¦
	GR.1110	Excavate Cut & Cover Section	/5	08-Jan-18	24-Apr-18	251	-											: :		avate	Cut		r Sec				
	GR.1120	Construct Deef	/5	22-Jan-18	09-1Viay-18	251	-											: : [	<b>⊒i ¦</b> ''	im, B	iina a	no Gas	it bas	e Slac			
	GR.1130	Construct Root	135	10-May-18	16-INOV-18	251														· I			Jonst	ruct H	901		
	GR.1140	Construct Blockwork Walls	110	09-Oct-19	24-Mar-20	50	-																				ļ
	GR.1150	Apply wall finish	40	25-Mar-20	22-May-20	20			+					+	+								+				;
	GB 1160	ut Install Diaphragm Walls - Full Height Big (2No.)	59	19-Feb-18	15-May-18	7													¦¦¦¦ ■∎¦lr	¦¦¦ stallI	Dianł	Iream \	Walls	: : - :Eutit	Heinh	t Ria: ()	21
	Tunnel Fire	Tanks and Pump Booms Building	340	16-May-18	26-Sep-19	237															lapi	g			Ŭ Đ		
	GR.1310	Excavate, Trim, Blind and Cast Base Slab	40	16-May-18	11-Jul-18	237										1				E E	xcav	ate, Tri	m, Bli	nd and	l Cast	Basle	¦ S
	GR.1320	Construct Walls	95	12-Jul-18	22-Nov-18	237													F	┝			Cons	tructV	Valls		
	GR.1330	Construct Roof	40	23-Nov-18	31-Jan-19	237			+						÷	i								Cons	truct	Roof	•
	GR.1340	Internal Works & Finishes	65	01-Feb-19	07-May-19	237																			i ir	nternal	IV
	GR.1350	Install Equipment and General M&E Fitout	100	08-May-19	26-Sep-19	237										1									÷		
	Tunnel Serv	vices Building (PTSB Building)	340	12-Jul-18	21-Nov-19	357																					
	GR.1200	Excavate, Trim, Blind and Cast Base Slab	25	12-Jul-18	15-Aug-18	357													L	⊧ <u>i</u>	Ex	cavate,	Trim	, Blind	and C	Jaist Ba	as
	GR.1210	Construct Walls	35	16-Aug-18	04-Oct-18	357																Cons	struct	Walls			
	GR.1220	Construct Roof	30	05-Oct-18	15-Nov-18	357															►		Const	uct R	oof		
	GR.1230	Internal Works & Finishes	60	16-Nov-18	21-Feb-19	357																┞╾┢═	÷.	Inte	ərnal ۱	Norks	; \$
	GR.1240	Install Equipment and General M&E Fitout	100	22-Feb-19	17-Jul-19	357																	L.	•		💻 ir	In
	GR.1250	Construct Access Road, Parking & General Landscaping	40	27-Sep-19	21-Nov-19	357			 					¦	¦				 	¦							
	Vent Station	n	195	19-Nov-18	06-Sep-19	251																					
	GR.1260	Excavate, Trim, Blind and Cast Base Slab	25	19-Nov-18	21-Dec-18	251																	Ex	cavate	Tir	ı, Blind	i a
	GR.1270	Construct Walls	50	07-Jan-19	15-Mar-19	251	-																-		jonsti	uct Wa	a
	GR.1280	Construct Roof	30	18-Mar-19	30-Apr-19	251	-																	· · · ·		onstruc	C
	GR.1290	Vent Stack	30	01-May-19	13-Jun-19	251			+														L			Vent	1
	GR.1300	Install Equipment and General M&E Fitout	60	14-Jun-19	06-Sep-19	251																					
	Bored Tunne	el estruction	702	12-Feb-18	08-Dec-20	0																					
	BT 1000	Install Shove Frame, Cradle & Fitout Services & Access	20	12-Feb-18	09-Mar-18	0												ln	stell S	hove	Fran	ne Gra	dle &	Fitouit	Servi	ces & /	Ad
	BT 1010	Frect TBM	60	12-Mar-18	07.lun-18	0	-												στμπο	Frec	t TR	и, ота и		i lioui		,00 0,1	ļ
	BT.1020	Drive Northbound Tunnel	96	08-Jun-18	22-Oct-18	0			+						<u>+</u>					+-++-		<b>d</b> Driv	ve Nr	rthbou	ind IT	innel	
	BT.1030	Turn TBM	40	23-Oct-18	17-Dec-18	0															Ģ		Tu	n'TB'N	/		
	BT.1040	Drive Southbound Tunnel	96	18-Dec-18	17-Mav-19	0																F			ii (	Drive S	Sa
	BT.1050	Remove TBM	20	20-May-19	17-Jun-19	9																			F	Ren	- m
	BT.1060	Infill Northbound Tunnel Invert & Install Side Ducts	126	20-May-19	13-Nov-19	0																			Ļ		
	BT.1070	Prepare, Waterproof & Cast Secondary Lining to Northbound Tunne	260	30-Jul-19	17-Aug-20	0			1					+ 	+	!								la la -         	<b></b>	┺	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·																	<u> </u>		_;l'						_
						Ş	Sil	/erto	own	Cr	OS	sing	j St	udy	У										-		
		0								Derr	0 ~ 1	2															
										raye	∠ 0f	ა															



																			1	9-	A	or-	13	
A	S	0	N	D	J	F	М	A	М	20 J	20 J	A	s	0	N	D	J	F	2 M	02   A	1	М	J	Γ
	Γ				- 4				C	Con	str	uc	t W	alls	5					1				
								-	Ę			ons \	stru /en	ct I St	Roo acl	þf k								
	• -					- - - - -				1					In	sta	II E	qı	uipr	ne	nt	ar	nd	G
				-		1									1									
						- - - 														-				
Pla	nt	& C	)-w	all	Rig	s										1 1 1 1	     			ł				
				-		- - - -							-			1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1			ł				
													-	-			1 1 1 1			ł				
				1		1 1 1 1				1					     	     	L     							
C	) on:	stru	ıct	Ro	of										1	1	     							
;																								
						- - 										, ,	, , ,							
				-		1									1	1	1 1 1 1							
	┤							C	one	etri	Ict	Bl	hok		rk 1	M a	lle			ł				
							-			A	ppl	у и	all	fini	şh					ł				
(2)	Jo	5													 - -	·								
(21	10	, ,															     							
e S	lat	5																						
															-  -	·	+							-
al V	۷o	rks	&	Fin	ish	es								- 			     							
ſ			IST	all 1	qu	lipr	ner	n a	nq	Ge	ne	rai	IVIC	k⊏ I		put	     			ł				
Bas	е	Sla	) 			1 1 1 1 1 1								 			1 1 1 1 1			-				
				-		     										1	     							
s 8	۶F	inis	he	s													     							
In	sta	<u>II E</u>	qui	om C	ent	ar	id (	Ger Acc	net ces	al N Is F	18	E F	ito Pa	ų́t ≠≠≠ rkir	 ha i	 & C	ien	er	all	a	¦ hd	so	an	ir
												,			.9									-
nd a Mai	Ind Is	Ca	st	Ba	se	Sla	b						-			1 1 1 1	     			ł				
uct	R	oof														1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1			ł				
nt Ş	Sta	ck														, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, , , , , ,		-!		- - - -			
	<b>!</b>	ins	all	Eq	uip	me		anc		ene	fra	- 1		ΓI	ou		1							
Δ.						- - - -									1	1	     							
×Α¢	CE	555															     							
						     			- +-						 - - -	· ·				Î				-
So	utl	hbo	und	iT b	uni	nel								/										
emo	ove	ΎΤΕ	зм														, , ,							
I				Inf	ill N	lor	thb	bur	hd	Tur	ne	# 6r	ive Pi	rt& epa	ln: are	ta , N	I Si /ate	d e err	D Dro	uc of	ts &	Ca	 Ist	ć
	1						>		_												-	1	,	
						5							ľ				ľ							
									N	10	ot	t	N	Λα	30	:[	)		n	ī	C			

Silv	ertown Crossing	Study																										
Act	ivity ID	Activity Name	Remaining	Start	Finish	Tota	al	2016					2	2017						20	018						2019	)
			Duration			Floa	<sup>it</sup> №	IJJAS	0	N D	JF	MA	М,	JJ	A S	ON	ID.	JF	ΜA	ΜJ	J	A S	ΟN	DJ	FΜ	AM	JJ	
	BT.1080	Infill Southbound Tunnel Invert & Install Side Ducts	126	29-Jul-19	04-Feb-20	1																						-
	BT.1090	Prepare, Waterproof & Cast Secondary Lining to Southbound Tunne	260	24-Sep-19	12-Oct-20	1																						
	BT.1100	Apply Wall Finish to Northbound Tunnel	60	23-Jun-20	15-Sep-20	0																						
	BT.1110	Apply Wall Finish to Southbound Tunnel	60	16-Sep-20	08-Dec-20	0					_					+												
	Cross Pass	ages	185	23-Oct-18	26-Jul-19	1																				, h , h		
	CP.1020	Construct Cross Passage CP3 - Treatment & Excavation	50	23-Oct-18	14-Jan-19	1																			Cons	truct C	Cross	۶F
	CP.1050	Construct Cross Passage CP2 & Sump - Treatment & Exc avation	65	15-Jan-19	15-Apr-19	1																		<b></b>		Cộ	nstru	J¢1
	CP.1070	Construct Cross Passage CP1 - Treatment & Excavation	50	16-Apr-19	28-Jun-19	1																						Çc
	CP.1110	Construct Cross Passage CP3 - Breakthro' & Secondary Lining	20	16-Apr-19	16-May-19	11								+								<del> </del>			; <b>ч</b> р ;;;		Cons	str
	CP.1160	Construct Cross Passage CP2 - Breakthro' & Secondary Lining	20	17-May-19	14-Jun-19	11																						on ⊐'
	CP.1200	Construct Cross Passage CP1 - Breakthro' & Secondary Lining	20	01-Jul-19	26-Jul-19	1																						ļ
	General Wo	rks	161	15-Jun-20	09-Feb-21	0																						
	GW.1000	Road surfacing, kerbs, footways, fire protection, etc.	126	15-Jun-20	08-Dec-20	0							: :			1						1 1						÷
	GW.1010	Install M&E Equipment	126	03-Aug-20	09-Feb-21	0															Ļ.							
	Highway Ir	nfrastructure Works	1024	09-Jan-17	04-Mar-21	1																						-
	Silvertown S	Site	396	20-Aug-18	31-Mar-20	234								1														÷
	Phase 1		241	20-Aug-18	12-Aug-19	71																						
	HWS.1000	Earthworks	45	20-Aug-18	22-Oct-18	71																	E	arthwo	orks			÷
	HWS.1010	Retaining wall on realigned Dock Road	22	23-Oct-18	21-Nov-18	71															         	¦ 4 ¦¦		Reta	ining v	vall on	reali	iģr
	HWS.1020	Carriageway Construction	87	22-Nov-18	05-Apr-19	71																				Car	riage	¥₩
	HWS.1030	Associated Infrastructure (lighting, fencing, traffic signals, etc.)	87	08-Apr-19	12-Aug-19	71																			<b>ب</b> ها :			
	Phase 2		66	13-Aug-19	13-Nov-19	71																		i i 1 1				ł
	HWS.2000	Earthworks	22	13-Aug-19	12-Sep-19	71																						+
	HWS.2010	Carriageway Construction	22	13-Sep-19	14-Oct-19	71		ļ. ļ. ļ. ļ. ļ.				L L					ļ ļ.				1							4.
	HWS.2020	Associated Infrastructure (lighting, fencing, traffic signals, etc.)	22	15-Oct-19	13-Nov-19	71																						÷.
	Phase 3		89	14-Nov-19	31-Mar-20	234	ļ																					
	HWS.3000	Earthworks	45	14-Nov-19	29-Jan-20	/1																						÷.
	HWS.3010	Carriageway Construction	22	30-Jan-20	28-Feb-20	/1																						
	HWS.3020	Associated Infrastructure (lighting, fencing, traffic signals, etc.)	22	02-Mar-20	31-Mar-20	234	•														$\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$			!				
	Greenwich	Site	1024	09-Jan-17	04-Mar-21	7																						-
	Phase 1	Terror ward construction on and site (Educuted Hellow March	609	09-Jan-17	26-Jun-19	7																		A/>				ł
	HWG.1000	Picture and construction around site (Edmund Halley Way)	45	09-Jan-17	10-Mar-17	/							mpo	rary r	oado	onsti	ructic	on arc	buna					vay);				÷
	HWG.1010	Diaphragm Wall construction - Stage 1 (2No. rigs)	95	16-May-18	27-Sep-18	/														:			Diap	nragn	1 wali	const	ructic	on 
	HWG.1020	Pling for bridge foundations	45	31-Aug-18	01-INOV-18	/								+							÷+				or bria	ge tou	Indat	
	HWG.1030	Earthworks	60	28-Sep-18	10-Jan-19	7																			Earthy	vorks	<b>_</b> ,	i Hu:
	HWG.1040	Bridge construction	160	20-001-18	20-Jun-19	7																				1		ıı لا
	HWG.1050	Carriageway construction (bus link & southbound A102 carriagewa)	65	22-Mar-19	26-Jun-19	7																	f foo	bridar				ja
	HWG.1060		00	09-Feb-18	10-1Viay-10	7															erno ¦ ¦			punde				÷
	HWG 2000	Diaphragm wall construction - Stage 2 (2No, rigs)	225	28-Sep-18	29-Aug-19	142	, -					 				L!								Jianhr	adm v	all co	nstri	. L . Ict
	HWG 2010	Construct carriageway across central reserve on A102	45	27- Jun-19	29-Aug-19	7	_																	hapin	agin v			
	Phase 3	Construct carriageway across central reserve on Aroz	130	20-Aug-19	12-Mar-20	7																						Г
	HWG.3000	Northbound A102 carriageway construction	85	30-Aug-19	09-Jan-20	7																						4
	HWG.3010	Tunnel Avenue construction	85	01-Nov-19	12-Mar-20	7																						÷
	Phase 4		240	13-Mar-20	04-Mar-21	7	-								+												+	÷
	HWG.4010	Earthworks	45	13-Mar-20	19-May-20	7																						1
	HWG.4020	Carriageway construction (links from A102 to tunnel portal)	65	20-May-20	19-Aug-20	7						, I I I I I																
	HWG.4030	A102 central reserve construction	45	20-Aug-20	22-Oct-20	7																						-
	HWG.4040	Associated infrastructure (lighting, fencing, traffic signals, etc)	85	23-Oct-20	04-Mar-21	7																						ł
	Commissio	oning & Testing	160	15-Sep-20	13-May-21	0	T-					L L 									$\frac{1}{1} = -\frac{1}{1}$							
	CT.1000	System Testing & Commissioning	120	15-Sen-20	15-Mar-21	0																						1
-	CT 1010	Final Integrated Testing, Commissioning and Handover	40	16-Mar-21	13-May-21	0	_																					
	01.1010	·	V					1 1 1 1	1 1	1.1	1.1		1 1	1		1 1	1 1		1		1 1	1	1.1			1		1







P:\GBCMA\HandT\TSol\Al\Projects\5110309\_Silvertown\_FR0B3713\20\_CAD\01\_Drgs\01\_Highways\MK2\_October\_2012\5110309\_HW\_GA\_0220\_RevB.dwg



P:\GBCMA\HandT\TSal\Al\Projects\5110309\_Silvertown\_FR0B3713\20\_CAD\01\_Drgs\01\_Highways\MK2 October 2012\5110309\_HW\_GA\_0221 Rev B.dwg



P:\GBCMA\HandT\TSal\Al\Projects\5110309\_Silvertown\_FR0B3713\20\_CAD\01\_Drgs\01\_Highways\MK2 October 2012\5110309\_HW\_GA\_0222 Rev B.dwg





P:\GBCMA\HandT\TSol\Al\Projects\5110309\_Silvertown\_FROB3713\20\_CAD\01\_Drgs\01\_Highways\Contract Drawings\0100\5110309\_HW\_GA\_0120 rev B.dwg



P:\GBCMA\HandT\TSa\\4\Projects\5110309\_Silvertown\_FR0B3713\20\_CAD\01\_Drgs\01\_Highways\Contract Drawings\0100\5110309\_HW\_GA\_0121 Rev B.dwg



P:\GBCMA\HandT\TSol\Al\Projects\5110309\_Silvertown\_FR0B3713\20\_CAD\01\_Drgs\01\_Highways\Contract Drawings\0100\5110309\_HW\_GA\_0122.dwg



### Appendix F: Statutory Undertakers' Plant

### Title

Statutory Undertakers Plant (North Junction) Statutory Undertakers Plant (South Junction) Statutory Undertakers Plant Diversion Plan (North Junction) Statutory Undertakers Plant Diversion Plan (South Junction) **Reference** 5110309-HW-UT-0010 5110309-HW-UT-0011 5110309-HW-UT-0028 5110309-HW-UT-0029





P:\GBCMA\HandT\TSol\Al\Projects\5110309\_Silvertown\_FR0B3713\20\_CAD\01\_Drgs\01\_Highways\5110309\_HW\_UT\_0011.dwg





### Appendix G: Preliminary Works Cost Estimate

### Preliminary Works Cost Estimate Summary Sheet

SILVERT	OWN CROSSING	BORE	ED TUNNEL
Section	Code of Account Headings		VALUE
Level	1	2	£
A	Roadworks	General Main carriageways Interchanges Signage & Communication Landscaping	13,156,194 5,662,821
В	Structures - Bridges, Viaducts, etc	Piling Substructure - Cut and cover and open cut section Substructure - Main & Approach Spans Superstructure Finishings	95,644,965
С	Structures - Retaining Walls, Culverts, Subways,etc	Main Construction	
D	Structures - Tunnels Other Works (Inc	Special prelims Cut & Cover - Main Construction Bored - Main Construction Immersed Tube - Main Construction General	187,711,709
-	Utilities)		
L	Deep Construction Cost / Sub Total A	Including Utilities	10,527,740
	Base Construction Cost : Sub-Total A	0/ CAV	512,705,429
-	Dreliminarias & Canaral Itama	% SAT	60 626 017
	Preliminaries & General items	us prolime and general items)	00,020,017
H J	Testing & Commissioning Consultancy Charges	us prenins and general items)	14,555,170
K L M	Training Spares Other		
M1 M2	Contractor's OH&P 10% Contractors Risk	10% of Sub-Total A plus F 10% of M1+F+Sub-Total A	37,332,945 41,066,239
		Sub - Total B	153.958.378
		Total Construction Cost C	466.661.807
OTHER	Client Costs	% SAY	
N	Project Management	Not included	
P	Possession / Isolation Management	Not included	
R	Compensation charges	Not included	
S	TWA Charges	Not included	
Т	Land / Property Costs	Not included	
U	Escalation on -1.00%	371,984,525	-3,719,845
	Contractor's cost plus		
	profit(Excludes Atkin's		
	costs) (As per ITL e-mail dated 20052042)		
v	Other (State)		
v		Client Costs	3 710 945
		Sub Total D	-5,119,045
X01	Mean cost from ORA	Not included in hudget costing as	402,541,502
		discussed with TfL	
	PROJECT BUDGET		462,941,962
X02	Plus contingency @	0%	-
	FIXED PRICE (If Applicable)		
X03	QRA @ P80	Not included in budget costing as discussed with TfL	-
	AUTHORITY VALUE		462,941,962



Preliminary Works Cost Estimate Build-Up

Α	ROADWORKS					
A1	General					
			<u>Qty</u>	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
	Sarias 100, Broliminarias					
	Series 100: Preliminaries					
	General Items Build up					
	or					
	Percentage					
	Insurances.bonds		1	sum	17,777,593	17,777,593
	Specified requirements		1	sum	1,481,124	1,481,124
	Allowance for structural surveys		1	sum	135,000	135,000
	Allowance for instrumentation installation.		1	sum	1,000,000	1,000,000
			1	sum		20,011,000
	ALLOWANCE FOR DIVERTING DRAIN		1	Sum	10,000,000	10,000,000
	North					
	North					
	Traffic management			item		435,100.00
	Ű					, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
	South					
	Traffic management			item		1,185,600.00
	Traffic diversion			item		600,000.00
	Total - Carried to Summarv					60,626.016.69
	·····	┢				

Α	ROADWORKS				
A1	General				
		<u>Qty</u>	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
Series	Section				
200.00	Site Clearance				
	North				
	Site clearance		item		32,950.00
	South				
	Site clearance		item		51,185.00
	Footbridge Demolition	1	no	531,000.00	531,000.00
300.00	Fencing				
	News				
	None				
400.00	Dead Destroint suctors				
400.00	Road Restraint systems				
	Notin Sofety feasing		itom		70 265 00
	Pedestrian guardrail	200	m	229.25	47 670 00
	South	200	111	230.35	47,070.00
	Safety fencing		itom		118 035 00
	Pedestrian quardrail	200	m	238 35	47 670 00
		200		200.00	47,070.00
600 00	Farthworks				
000.00	Lanmonto				
	North				
	Roadway				
	Excavation of unacceptable material	<u>16,834</u>	<u>m3</u>	<u>8.10</u>	136,355.40
	Excavation of contaminated material	33,077	m3	8.10	267,923.70
	Extra over excavation for excavation in hard material	1,874	m3	67.00	125,558.00
	Deposition of fill material	4,695	m3	2.90	13,615.50
	Compaction of fill material	4,695	m3	2.15	10,094.25
	Disposal of unacceptable material off site	12,139	m3	60.00	728,340.00
	Disposal of contaminated material off site	33,077	m3	120.00	3,969,240.00
	South				
	Deeducu				
		00 474		0.40	047.005.40
	Excavation of unacceptable material	39,171	<u>m3</u>	<u>8.10</u>	317,285.10
	Excavation of contaminated material	32,915	m2	8.10	200,011.50
		7 120	m2	07.00	70,380.00
	Compaction of fill material	7,120	m2	2.90	20,040.00
}	Disposal of unaccontable material off site	30 171	m2	60.00	2 350 260 00
}	Disposal of contaminated material off site	32 015	m2	120.00	3 040 800 00
		52,915	113	120.00	3,949,000.00
	Total - Carried to Summary				13 156 194 45
					10,100,101.10

Α	ROADWORKS				
A2	Carriageways				
Series 500	Section <u>Drainage and Service Ducts</u>	<u>Qty</u>	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
	North Drainage Ducting Narrow filter drains Pumping station package 1200 mm Ø pipes for storage, ave 6 m deep South Drainage Ducting <u>Narrow filter drains</u> <u>Pumping station package</u> 1200 mm Ø pipes for storage, ave 6 m deep	300	item item item m item item item item m	605.00	343,330.00 34,030.00 61,260.00 11,800.00 181,500.00 581,280.00 67,210.00 61,300.00 11,800.00 320,650.00
700.00	Pavements North	000		000.00	020,000.00
	Type 1 sub-base, 300 mm thick Bituminous materials Cold milling, 100 mm deep Resin bonded HFS in various colours Carriageway reinstatement South	2,811 2,530 2,750	m3 item m2 m2 sum	39.10 16.60 21.20	109,910.10 541,776.00 41,998.00 58,300.00 10,000.00
	Type 1 sub-base, 300 mm thick Bituminous materials Cold milling, 100 mm deep Carriageway reinstatement Reinstatement of Millenium Way / Edmund Halley Way	1,590 10,880	m3 item m2 sum sum	39.10 16.60	62,169.00 732,250.00 180,608.00 20,000.00 1,960,000.00
1,100.00	Kerbs, footpaths, edgings North Kerbs and edgings Footways <u>South</u> Kerbs		item item		67,700.00 131,320.00 72,630.00
	Total - Carried to Summary				5,662,821.10

В	STRUCTURES - BRIDGES, ETC				
B2	Substructure - End Supports				
Series	Section	Qty	<u>Unit</u>	Rate	<u>Amount</u>
	South				
	Two-lane Overbridge (abutment & deck) Pedestrian footbridge (all-inclusive) Retaining Walls	1 See Tunnelling Section	item item	2,079,650.00 2,500,000.00	2,079,650.00 2,500,000.00
1,800	Structural Steelwork				
	North				
	Gantry, 20 - 30 m	1	no	325,110.00	325,110.00
	$\frac{30000}{60000000000000000000000000000000$	1	no	235 230 00	235 230 00
	Gantry, 20 - 30 m Gantry, 30 - 40 m	1	no no	325,110.00 440,155.00	325,110.00 880,310.00
	Cut and Cover section Silvertown Retained cut section Silvertown Cut and Cover section Greenwich Retained cut section Greenwich Retained cut section Greenwich Secondary sub-station Primary sub-station Fire Tanks and Pump Room Vent Station Greenwich Vent Station Silvertown	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	sum sum sum sum sum sum sum	20,644,884 11,273,095 28,018,057 10,003,518 2,875,000 5,625,000 5,860,000 2,500,000 2,500,000	20,644,884 11,273,095 28,018,057 10,003,518 2,875,000 5,625,000 5,860,000 2,500,000 2,500,000
	Total - Carried to Summary				95,644,965

D	STRUCTURES - TUNNELS				
D2	Bored - Main Construction				
Series	Section	<u>Qty</u>	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
6000	<b>TBM Provision</b> Crane mat and hardstanding for TBM construction.	1 1	sum sum	30,387,500 250,000	30,387,500 250,000
6100	TBM Driving Costs METHOD RELATED CHARGES TBM Driving costs	1	sum sum	22,492,850 51,607,556	22,492,850 51,607,556
6200	Tunnel Launch & Reception Chambers Secant Pile Launch Chamber TBM Reception Centre Earthworks	1 1	sum sum	6,836,415 7,336,415	6,836,415 7,336,415
	Drainage				
	Concrete work				
	Steelwork				
	Miscellaneous - Retaining Walls		sum		755,000
6300	Tunnel Portal & Head Costs	1	sum	1,482,471	1,482,471
	Earthworks				
	Drainage				
	Concrete work				
	Steelwork				
	Miscellaneous				
6400	Cross Passages	1	sum	5,688,132	5,688,132
	Earthworks				
	Drainage				
	Concrete work				
	Steelwork				
	Miscellaneous sump in cross passage	1	sum	250,000	250,000
6500	Mechanical & Electrical Services	1	sum	49,164,015	49,164,015
	Tunnel infill and road construction Savings for in-situ concrete walls in lieu of VE panels	1	sum sum	12,781,783 -1,320,429	12,781,783 -1,320,429
	Total Carried to Summer				107 744 700
	i otai - Carried to Summary				187,711,709

E	OTHER WORKS (INCL UTILITIES)				
Series	Section	Qty	Unit	Rate	Amount
1200	Traffic Signs & Road Markings				
	North				
	Traffic signs and road markings Toucan crossing Traffic signal installation; 3-way with ped phase	4 2	item no no	30,030.00 63,700.00	48,255.00 120,120.00 127,400.00
	South				
	Traffic signs and road markings		item		54,585.00
1300	Street Lighting				
	North				
	10 m high non-passive lighting column	46	no	2,310.00	106,260.00
	South				
	10 m high non-passive lighting column	31	no	2,520.00	78,120.00
3000	Landscaping				
	North				
	Lump sum				45,000.00
	South				
	Lump sum				45,000.00
	Utility diversions				
	North				
	Lump sum				2,367,000.00
	South				
	Lump sum				6,651,000.00
	Allowance for Accomodation & Facilitation Works				
	Lump sum				885,000.00
	Total - Carried to Summary				10,527,740.00



### Appendix H: Designer's Risk Assessment

Atkins H&T - Scheme Health & Safety Risk Register - for use by Designers on Schemes where Atkins is the Lead Designer													(1) (0)	
		Project:	Silvertown Crossing	- Highways	Author(s): Neil Handly designoutriskt									
		Design Stage:	Conceptial Design		e.g. Strategy/ Concept or Scheme or Detailed PLUS Prelim. or Final     Date of this Revision of Risk Register: 15/04/2013     Section 2.15/04/2013     Section 2.15/04/201     Section 2.15/04/201									
		Spec Series or Element:	Whole Project		. or say "whole project" Revision No. B									
		Option Ref. (if relevant)			<ul> <li>when undertaking option appraisal of alternative solutions</li> </ul>	when undertaking option appraisal of alternative solutions								
		Haza	rd Identification		Hazard Elimination or Risk Reduction by Co	ntrol	- CDM	2007 Regulation 11(4) - Duties of de	signers		Commu	inication of Residu only if Significant	al Risk	Notes
	Α	В	С	D	E		F	G	Н		1	J	К	L
F	Ref. S	Structure Element and/ or	Record Hazardous Activity	Stage Affected	Risk Reduction to be tackled as a Hierarchy - either	Green	n RAG list	Record Means of Assuring that either:	Designer Initials	Sign	ificance of	Means of	Designer Initials	Commentary if required/
	Ľ	ocation	and separately the Hazard	(from dropdown) (End Use ONLY if	<ol> <li>Record that Hazard has been/ will be eliminated (designed out) and how this has been/ will be achieved</li> </ol>	item e sigr	employed to nificantly	1. Elimination has been/ will be achieved. Or if not then:	here to verify commitments in	Res (Selec	idual Risk	Communicating Significant Residual Risk	here to verify	Project Director sign off of any Red Items
				designed as a workplace)	Or if not then:	redu	ce risk (if	2. Design Assumptions are valid/ Control	Column G	List or	classify as	(RED/ AMBER Construction	Column J	
					2. Record Design Assumptions and/ or Control Measures to reduce		any)	Measures will be in place		eq	uivalent)	will go in PCI)		
					IISK									
F	1	nstallation and removal of	The setting out and the removal	Construction	Control measures to reduce the risk are:			The Traffic Management design will be completed in				Contract Drawings,	MJC	
	٦	raffic Management	of the Traffic Management on		- Appropriate methods used for TM setting out and removal in assordance			accordance with the TSM Chapter 8 Part 1: Design				Specification, Method		
			associated slip roads - potential	I	with TSM Chapter 8 Part 2: Operations.			The setting out and removal of the Traffic				and Staff briefing		
			risk of TM personnel being		- A temperaty around limit on the main parriage you is he enforced in the			Management will be in accordance with the approved						
			potential of injury due to		A temporary speed limit on the main carriageway is be enforced in the vicinity of where the TM is to be installed.			methods stated in TSM Chapter 8 Part 2: Operations.						
			bending and lifting operations.					All Traffic Management designs will be checked by the	e	cant				
					I M to be installed and removed by competent trained personnel only.			effectiveness of the design reviewed post		jn i fi				
					PPE to be worn at all times.			implimentation through regular on site checks.		ť Sić				
										Ŷ				
								The design should be reviewed with a view to						
								minimising or removing the risk.						
	2 5	Slip Road / Lane Closures on	Works on the A102 - potential	Construction	Control measures to reduce the risk are:			The Traffic Management design will be completed in				Contract Drawings,	MJC	
	t	he main carriageway.	for traffic delays during peak		TM to be set out and removed during off peak hours where traffic flows			accordance with the TSM Chapter 8 Part 1: Design.				Specification, Method Satements and TM		
			accidents occurring. Potential		are considerably reduced.			The Traffic Management will only be implemented		Ħ		designs		
			risk for accidents to occur due		<ul> <li>All closure points are to be controlled / managed by competent personnel.</li> </ul>	E		during the agreed times.		ifica				
			areas via the closures.		at all times when the TM is in operation.	Gree	G24	The design should be reviewed with a view to		ign				
						Ŭ		minimising or removing the risk.		lot S				
										z				
┢	3	Vorking adjacent or in close	Works being undertaken where	Construction	Control measures to reduce the risk are:	_		The Traffic Management design will be completed in				Contract Drawings	MJC	
	F	proximity to Live Traffic	live traffic is in close proximity					accordance with the TSM Chapter 8 Part 1: Design				Specification, Method		
			to the works area - potential for personnel to be struck moving		Appropriate TM layout to be designed and installed in relation to the works being undertaken and in accordance with TSM Chapter 8 Part 1: Design			The setting out and removal of the Traffic				Satements and TM designs		
			vehicles.		bong andonanon and in accordance man rom onapter o'r art i. Boogn			Management will be in accordance with the approved				doolgho		
					A temporary speed limit on the main carriageway is be enforced in the visitive of where the works are being undertaken.			methods stated in TSM Chapter 8 Part 2: Operations.		Ħ				
					vicinity of where the works are being undertaken.	ç		All Traffic Management designs will be checked by the		fica				
					PPE to be worn at all times.	èr ee	G17	TSO prior to the works commencing and on site		igni				
						Ŭ		checks will also be undertaken.		ot S				
										z				
								minimising the risk.						
								<b>.</b>						

	Hazard Identification Hazard Elimination or Risk Reduction by Control - CDM 2007 Regulation 11(4) - Duties of designers								Comm	Communication of Residual Risk only if Significant			
Δ	В	C	D	F	1	F	G	н	1		ĸ	1	
Ref.	Structure Element and/ or Location	Record Hazardous Activity and separately the Hazard	Stage Affected (from dropdown) (End Use ONLY if designed as a workplace)	Risk Reduction to be tackled as a Hierarchy - either 1. Record that Hazard has been/ will be eliminated (designed out) and how this has been/ will be achieved, Or if not then: 2. Record Design Assumptions and/ or Control Measures to reduce risk	Greer item e sign redu	n RAG list employed to hificantly ce risk (if any)	Record Means of Assuring that either: 1. Elimination has been/ will be achieved. Or if not then: 2. Design Assumptions are valid/ Control Measures will be in place	Designer Initials here to verify commitments in Column G	Significance of Residual Risk (Select from RAG List or classify as equivalent)	Means of Communicating Significant Residual Risk (RED/ AMBER Construction will go in PCI)	Designer Initials here to verify commitments in Column J	Commentary if required/ Project Director sign off of any Red Items	
4	Significant Services above or below or adjacent to the works area	Works being undertaken where Statutory Undertakers Apparatus and Overhead Services are located within the vicinity - potential for Overhead Services or Statutory Undertakers Apparatus to be struck during site vehicle movements or excavations.	Construction	Control measures to reduce the risk are: • STATS searches are to be undertaken prior to the works taking place to identify the locations of the apparatus within the vicinity. • Prior to the works taking place trial holes are to be undertaken to establish the exact location of the apparatus. • A Permit to Work / Dig must be in place before any excavations take place. • Prior to any excavations taking place CAT scans are to undertaken by competent personnel. • All vehicle movements within close proximity of Overhead Services are to be completed under quidence of a competent hankemap.	-	-	The design is to be reviewed once C2 STATS searches have been returned to highlight any potential clashes prior to the works. All STATS information collated is to be issued to the Contractor. Designs should be reviewed with a view to minimising or removing the risk of clashes with services.		Amber - Significant	Contract Drawings, Stats Returns and Specification	MJC		
5	Vibrating Plant and Equipment	Works operations being undertaken using vibrating plan and equipment - potential for injury due to being exposed to long operating periods and being within close proximity to the plant and equipment.	Construction	Control measures to reduce the risk are: • Appropriate equipment, plant and techniques are to be considered and implemented for the operations being undertaken. • The contractor is to implement a Safe System of Work for site operation with consideration given to the reduction of exposure times. • All works undertaken are to be in accordance with Manual Handling Operations Regulations. • PPE to be worn at all times.	-	-	A HAVS assessment to be carried out prior to the works. The contractor will submit a Method Statement and a Safe System of Work outlining how this will be minimised. The design should be reviewed with a view to minimising or removing the risk.		Not Significant	Contract Drawings, Specification, Method Satements and Staff briefing	MJC		
6	Noise Intensity	Personnel working in close proximity to loud works operations and passing vehicles on the main carriageway – potential for injury due exposure constant intense noise.	Construction	Control measures to reduce the risk are: Appropriate equipment, plant and techniques are to be considered and implemented for the operations being undertaken with suitable systems to reduce noise where possible. The contractor is to implement a Safe System of Work for site operation with consideration given to the reduction of exposure times. An increased Safety Zone / Lateral Clearance is to be implemented where feasible. A temporary speed limit on the main carriageway is be enforced in the wicinity of where the works are being undertaken. PPE to be worn at all times.	-	-	The contractor is to submit a Method Statement and a Safe System of Work outlining how this will be minimised. The design should be reviewed with a view to minimising or removing the risk.		Not Significant	Contract Drawings, Specification, Method Satements and Staff briefing	MJC		
7	Site Delivery routes and areas	Vehicles entering and exiting the works areas and movements within the works area - potential for delivery vehicles to cause a collusion with passing traffic and striking personnel in the works area.	Construction	Control measures to reduce the risk are: The design and installation of the TM is to allow for both a safe entry and exit from the Works Area as in accordance with TSM Chapter 8 Part 1: Design and Part 2: Operations The Contractor is to provide competent banksman to be present at all times to assist with all site vehicles arriving and passing through the works area. The contractor is to implement a Safe System of Work. PPE to be worn at all times.	Green	G1	The Traffic Management will be completed in accordance with the TSM Chapter 8 The Traffic Management will be checked by the TSO prior to the works commencing.		Not Significant	Contract Drawings, Specification, Method Satements and Staff briefing	MJC		

	Haza	ard Identification		Hazard Elimination or Risk Reduction by Control - CDM 2007 Regulation 11(4) - Duties of designers							Communication of Residual Risk only if Significant			
Α	В	С	D	E	1	F	G	н	1 1		I J I		L	
Ref.	Structure Element and/ or Location	Record Hazardous Activity <u>and separately</u> the Hazard	Stage Affected (from dropdown) (End Use ONLY if designed as a workplace)	Risk Reduction to be tackled as a Hierarchy - either 1. Record that Hazard has been/ will be eliminated (designed out) and how this has been/ will be achieved, Or if not then: 2. Record Design Assumptions and/ or Control Measures to reduce risk	Greer item e sigr redu	n RAG list employed to nificantly ice risk (if any)	Record Means of Assuring that either: 1. Elimination has been/ will be achieved. Or if not then: 2. Design Assumptions are valid/ Control Measures will be in place	Designer Initials here to verify commitments in Column G	Significance e Residual Ris (Select from R. List or classify equivalent)		Means of Communicating Significant Residual Risk (RED/AMBER Construction will go in PCI)	Designer Initials here to verify commitments in Column J	Commentary if required/ Project Director sign off of any Red Items	
8	Manual handling	Personnel moving site equipment and site materials - potential for injury to personnel during lifting and bending operations.	Construction	Control measures to reduce the risk are: The Contractor is to implement a Safe System of Work for site operations.  All works undertaken are to be in accordance with Manual Handling Operations Regulations.  PPE to be worn at all times.	Green	G12	The contractor is to submit a Method Statement and a Safe System of Work outlining how this will be minimised. All kerb lifting to be undertaken mechanically Kerbs are to be specified for this urban highway improvement. At detailed design, alternative kerbing materiale should be invectised and/or should		Not Significant		Contract Drawings, Specification, Method Satements and Staff briefing	MJC		
9	Environmental Issues	Working in close proximity to surface water drainage systems and soft verges, air pollution - potential for contamination from pollutants leaking from plant and equipment and materials used during construction.	Construction	Control measures to reduce the risk are: • Spill kits and dip trays for plant and equipment. • Approved areas for plant to be parked during operations and preparation areas - away from surface water drainage and soft verges. • Provisions for preventing debris from spreading to the surrounding roads, wheel washing facilities and the containment of soiled water • Appropriately trained personnel on site to deal and implement procedures. • Elimination of unnecessary running of plant and equipment when not in use. • Method Statement from the Contractor stating how any waste products / materials will be deposed of.	-	-	materials should be investigated and/or shorter kern Environmental checklist will be put in place for the Contractor to follow with appropriately trained personnel to be present to ensure control measures and procedures are implemented.		Not Significant		Environmental Checklist/ Environmental Management Plan			
10	COSHH Materials	Site materials and operations such as cold milling of the existing pavement and the removal of existing road markings - potential for injury due to exposure to harmful substances such as dust from the cold milling process and the presence of lead in the existing road markings.	Construction	Control measures to reduce the risk are: • All operations are to be carried out by competent personnel. • All handling of the materials is to be undertaken in accordance with the Contractors Safe System of Works and the Method Statements. • Dust suppression techniques are to be utilised whenever possible during carraigeway cutting using road saws and cold milling operations. • Survey to be undertaken and As Built information to be obtained to confirm if lead is present in existing road markings. • PPE to be worn at all times.	-	-	The Contractor is to produce a Method Statement and a Safe System describing how the works are to be undertaken. The detailed design should consider relevant survey and existing 'As Built' information and modify the design if possible to reduce risk.		Not Significant		Contract Drawings, Specification, Method Satements and Staff briefing	мис		
11	Asbestos Exposure	Excavation and/or Cold Milling of the existing carriageway construction - potential for injury due to exposure to materials containing Asbestos fibres.	Construction	Control measures to reduce the risk are:  As Built information to be obtained and checked.  Asbestos Checklist to be completed if required.	-	-	The Contractor is to produce a Method Statement and a Safe System of for how the works are to be undertaken. The detailed design should consider relevant survey and existing 'As Built' information and modify the design if possible to reduce risk.		Not Significant		Contract Drawings, Specification, Method Satements and Staff briefing	MJC		
12	Working above members of the public and operatives	Risk to operatives and members of the public due to falling objects during lifting operations or whilst working at height	Construction	Control measures to reduce the risk are: Ensure all lifting operations are covered by an adequate method statement and personnel are aware of all lifting operations. Ensure adequate drop zone is cordoned off during works at height Hard hats to be worn by those working at low level	-	-	The contractor is to submit a Method Statement and a Safe System of Work outlining how this will be minimised. The detailed design should take into account the risks associated with working above members of the public and operatives and, if possible, modify the design to reduce said risk		Not Significant		Contract Drawings, Specification, Method Statements and Staff briefing			

	Haz	ard Identification	2007 Regulation 11(4) - Duties of de		Commu	nication of Residu only if Significant	al Risk Notes						
Α	В	С	D	E		F	G	Н			J	K	L
Ref	. Structure Element and/ or Location	Record Hazardous Activity <u>and separately</u> the Hazard	Stage Affected (from dropdown) (End Use ONLY if designed as a workplace)	Risk Reduction to be tackled as a Hierarchy - either 1. Record that Hazard has been/ will be eliminated (designed out) and how this has been/ will be achieved, Or if not then: 2. Record Design Assumptions and/ or Control Measures to reduce risk	Greer item e sigr redu	n RAG list employed to ifficantly ce risk (if any)	Record Means of Assuring that either: 1. Elimination has been/ will be achieved. Or if not then: 2. Design Assumptions are valid/ Control Measures will be in place	Designer Initials here to verify commitments in Column G	Sigr Res (Selec List o ec	ificance of idual Risk ct from RAG r classify as juivalent)	Means of Communicating Significant Residual Risk (RED/ AMBER Construction will go in PCI)	Designer Initials here to verify commitments in Column J	Commentary if required/ Project Director sign off of any Red Items
13	Excavations	Personnel working in close proximity to areas of excavatior - potential for injury due to slip, trips and falls.	Construction	Control measures to reduce the risk are: All exposed excavations must be protected by an appropriate method and clearly visible to all site personnel. PPE to be worn at all times.	Green	G6	The contractor is to submit a Method Statement and a Safe System of Work outlining how this will be minimised.		Not Significant		Contract Drawings & Appendices		
14	Working at Height	Risk to operatives due to falls whilst working from height.	Construction	Control measures to reduce the risk are: Ensure all operatives working at height have relevant training. Ensure the correct equipment is used to work at height. Ensure all equipment used is in a safe working condition.	-	-	The contractor is to submit a Method Statement and a Safe System of Work outlining how this will be minimised. The detailed design should take into account the risks associated with working at height and, if possible, modify the design to reduce said risk.		Not Significant		Contract Drawings, Specification, Method Statements and Staff briefing	MJC	
Note	1.This Design Risk Register doe     2. This Risk Register should be	s not use conventional risk scorir	ig. In its place is a	requirement to determine whether any residual risk is "Significant" as ACOP	131-134	4.		Owner	B Swa	+M Revnolds	Issue Date	18/02/2011	Reference: HS Form 34
	3. The provision of the items on	the Red and Amber Lists does n	ot remove from the	e Designer, an obligation to identify and asses hazards and risks specific to the	e projec	t		Revision	D.JWd	13	Review Date	05/04/2012	Neleience, his Fullil 34
	4. Refer also to Guidance Work	sheet		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									1



### Appendix I: Greenwich Peninsula Spatial Analysis (Previous Case Study)

## Case Study Greenwich Peninsula Masterplan

### Client: Meridian Delta Limited

Start Date: May 2002

Duration: 4 months

### Context

Atkins was commissioned by Meridian Delta Limited to evaluate the Terry Farrell Masterplan and to advise on pedestrian movement issues. The Greenwich Peninsula was one of the largest regeneration opportunities in the UK, covering 200 acres of proposed residential and mixed-use commercial development on a brownfield site. The site also included the Millennium Dome.

#### Objectives

To understand pedestrian movement issues on the Greenwich Peninsula including pedestrian desire lines and public transport accessibility.

#### **Services Provided**

- Visibility and accessibility analysis
- Pedestrian capacity analysis

#### Key Benefits / Success Factors

- Applying a series of Key Performance Indices to the Masterplan allowed analysis at an early stage and informed design development
- Whilst pedestrian circulation routes were generally well integrated and would function effectively for normal wayfinding and movement purposes, Atkins pinpointed areas for improvement including a secluded park and realignment of pedestrian routes servicing the QEII pier
- The design of the masterplan was enhanced by the research and Greenwich Council resolved to grant planning consent in April 2003



Visibility analysis



Pedestrian capacity



Peter Metcalf Project Manager Highways & Transportation

Threadneedle House 9-10 Market Road, Chelmsford, Essex, CM1 1XA England

Email: Peter.Metcalf@atkinsglobal.com Telephone: +44 (0) 1245 24 5230 Mobile: +44 (0) 7803 25 8620 Fax: +44 (0) 1245 34 5010

www.atkinsglobal.com

© Atkins Ltd except where stated otherwise.

The Atkins logo, 'Carbon Critical Design' and the strapline 'Plan Design Enable' are trademarks of Atkins Ltd.