

This paper will be considered in public

1 Summary

- 1.1 The purpose of this paper is to update the Committee on the status of TfL's Strategic Risks and proposed mitigations at the end of Quarter 4, through discussion of the Strategic Risk Register.
- 1.2 A further paper will be considered in Part 2 of the agenda which contains exempt supplemental information. Subject to the decision of the Committee, that paper is exempt and is therefore not for publication to the public or press by virtue of paragraph 3 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972 in that it contains information relating to the business affairs of TfL.
- 1.3 As outlined in previous meetings, the Strategic Risk Management Panel (SMRP) has been set up to develop and implement a pan TfL strategic risk framework for the management of risks and better support decision-making. The Managing Director, Finance and Business Area Directors have agreed an approach to ensure continuous improvement of current strategic risk reporting.

2 Recommendation

- 2.1 **The Committee is asked to note this paper.**

3 Background

- 3.1 TfL currently captures strategic risk through the Strategic Risk Register (SRR) and the Quantified Strategic Risk Schedule (QSRS).
 - a) The objective of the SRR is to capture the key risks that could impact the delivery of TfL's strategic objectives through the thematic aggregation of the strategic Business Area level risks.
 - b) The TfL QSRS is a schedule that quantifies, where possible, the risks included in the SRR. It also captures project contingency and any opportunities that could materialise.

4 Risk Management Training

- 4.1 Risk Managers in the business areas agree that Risk Management Awareness Training should be made compulsory for key staff. The risk managers in each area have submitted a list of the staff that are considered to be key to the risk management within the business. As the current training sessions are due to end in July 2015, the SRMP are investigating the best method to deliver the remaining training.

5 Cyber Security Risk

- 5.1 It is intended that TfL Cyber Security Risk will be updated during the Q4 Audit and Assurance Strategic Risk Meeting. The Chief Information Officer will be attending to provide an update on the current risks.

6 Q4 Strategic Risk Register Update

- 6.1 During Q4 2014/15, the risks that fed into the SRR were assessed as part of the Business Areas quarterly forecast reviews. New items or changes on the SRR are highlighted in blue for ease of reading.
- 6.2 There has been no change to Current Risk Status during Q4 and as such the risk trend remains static on all risks. Summary as follows:

| | | |
|--------------|---|---|
| TFL01 | Maintaining a long term strategic, balanced plan | H |
| TFL02 | People Risk (inc. Pensions, IR) | M |
| TFL03 | Delivery of capital investment portfolio | H |
| TFL04 | Technology Risk | M |
| TFL05 | Disruption to quality of service - operational and customer | H |
| TFL06 | Targeting continued improvements (set by external stakeholders) | M |
| TFL07 | One-off serious incidents | M |

- 6.3 There have been various changes during Q4 to help mitigate these risks e.g. new controls and actions have been added by the business areas.
- 6.4 The mitigations associated with four risks in particular have been changed, as follows:

TFL02: People Risk (Inc. Pensions - Industrial Relations)

- 6.5 To ensure TfL provides the best service to its customers, Human Resources must deliver the objectives of TfL's People Strategy which include knowledge retention, employment of scarce resources, development of staff and staff retention, particularly as the UK economy recovers. Two additional controls are in place to help mitigate against this risk:
- a) Staff training in people management; and

b) An Organisational Change team is now a control on SRR.

6.6 Two actions have been completed during Q4 to help mitigate the risk of not delivering the People Strategy as follows:

- a) Enhance the Organisational Change Capability to give managers the support they need to deliver key changes; and
- b) Cass Executive Education modules delivered to Director groups 1-4 with leadership challenge panel reviews completed.

6.7 Additionally a new action has been taken to mitigate the risk of not delivering the People Strategy:

- a) Employee relations strategy three to five year implementation plan to be developed.

TFL03: Delivery of Capital Investment Portfolio

6.8 TFL03 describes the risk of projects and programmes not being delivered on time, budget or delivering expected benefits. To help mitigate the risk there is a new control added:

- a) Supply chain intelligence - early warning of supplier failure through monitoring critical supplier financial health, capacity and payment performance.

6.9 Additionally three new actions are scheduled to help mitigate against the capital investment portfolio not being delivered and are as follows:

- a) Embedding workforce planning actions following a successful completion of a pilot;
- b) A PPD Capability Improvement programme is now underway, utilising industry and TfL best practice models; and
- c) Complete visibility of CPD portfolio to enable early identification of project deliverability risks.

TFL05: Disruption to Quality of Services

6.10 TFL05 describes the risk of disruption to TfL's network (across all modes of transport) impacting overall reliability and customer satisfaction. Four new actions are in place to help mitigate against this risk:

- a) Developing quick wins as part of the initial phase of the bus priority programme;
- b) Rollout of 80 TfL Roads and Transport Enforcement Officers, to focus on the road network, addressing areas at risk of congestion;

- c) Embedding commercial techniques from the IUK Project Initiation Roadmap into the TfL project procurement approach; and
- d) Approval of the Predict and Preventative portfolio.

TFL06: Targeting Continued Improvement

6.11 TFL06 describes TfL's inability to meet targets set by external stakeholders resulting in the loss of reputation and/or fines. One of the targets is EU air quality and there is a new control to help mitigate against this risk:

- a) Mayor's Air Quality Plan now has three schemes finished with a further 31 schemes to be completed in 2015/16 and publication of new guidance in 2016/17.

6.12 There are also targets around road safety and three additional actions are now in place to mitigate against these targets:

- a) Development of case studies demonstrating how consolidation can work effectively for businesses, contributing to reduced congestion and emissions, and reducing the risk of collision in peak periods;
- b) Implementation of actions outlined in the Mayor's Road Safety Action Plan and implementation of actions outlined in Cycle, Pedestrian, Motorcycle Safety Action Plan; and
- c) Research into young driver fatal and serious injury, serious cyclist and pedestrian injuries, road safety technology trial.

6.13 The SRR can be found in Appendix 1

List of appendices to this report:

Appendix 1 – Q4 TfL Strategic Risk Register

Contact Officer: Steve Allen, Managing Director, Finance
Number: 020 3054 8907
Email: stephenallen@tfl.gov.uk

Transport for London - Strategic Risk Register

| | | | | | |
|---|---|------------------------------|--|---|---------------------------|
| TFL01 : Maintaining a long term strategic, balanced plan | | | Owner: Steve Allen | Assessed | Quarter 4 |
| Strategic objective : Value | | | Key risk indicator : Adverse variances or cash shortfalls arising through financial reporting and analysis and business planning | Trend | ↔ |
| Description: Lower funding sources or higher than planned costs which creates cash flow problems resulting in an unbalanced Business Plan. This may be due to Government Grant reduction, shortfall in Commercial Development and other secondary income sources or a shortfall in the savings and efficiency target. | | | | | |
| Current Risk Status | | | Target Risk Status | | |
| High score from potential impact on TfL's Business Plan and overall financial value | | | Medium target as a result of closely monitored operational and financial activity | | |
| KPI impact to be quantified | | | KPI impact to be quantified | | |
| See quantified schedules for Financial Impact | | | See quantified schedules for Financial Impact | | |
| Key Controls | Owner | Review Date* / Status | Key Actions | Owner | Due Date* / Status |
| Influence and negotiate with DfT and Mayor's Office to continue favourable funding position and identify activities to mitigate any possible shortfalls. | Steve Allen Andrew Pollins Patrick Doig | As required | On-going contingency planning to develop scenario and alternatives to generate feasible solutions. | Steve Allen Andrew Pollins Patrick Doig | Jun 2015 |
| | | | Continue development of a savings and efficiencies database. This will include a more advanced process for risk assessments. | Steve Allen | Jun 2015 |
| Spending Review | Steve Allen Andrew Pollins Patrick Doig | Annual | On-going work to prioritise activities for protection in the case of a reduced funding scenario. | Steve Allen Andrew Pollins Patrick Doig | On-going |
| TfL Business Planning Process | Steve Allen Andrew Pollins Patrick Doig | Annual | Identify and agree savings initiatives with the Leadership Team and ensure inclusion within the Business Plan. | Steve Allen | On-going |
| | | | Continue implementation of project Skyline, which seeks to establish the resource, specialist support, contracting arrangements, funding and governance required to deliver the revised Business Plan. | Graeme Craig | Jul 2015 |
| Quarterly forecast and review process to ensure the business plan remains balanced over the long term and take corrective action if necessary. | Steve Allen Andrew Pollins Patrick Doig | Quarterly | Undertake quarterly forecast meetings with the business areas to review and challenge forecasts. | Steve Allen Andrew Pollins Patrick Doig | Jun 2015 |
| Management contingency and risk allowance for major projects, informed by Quarterly Risk Assessments (QRA) and quarterly risk reviews. | Steve Allen Andrew Pollins Patrick Doig | Quarterly | | | |
| Use of specialist skills in Property Development; resource strategy to recruit property development experts as secondees has been launched (three on boarded to date) | Graeme Craig | On-going | Programme governance set up to drive commercial agreements and delivery plans | Graeme Craig | May 2015 |
| Bottom-up business plan process to develop Comm Dev plan. Cognizant of risks inherent in diverse portfolio, progress and delivery of this plan will be closely monitored | Graeme Craig | Annual | | | |
| Flexibility with regards to sites offered on the market, thereby optimising the Over Station development returns in the future. | Steve Allen | On-going | | | |
| Material allocation of investments into safe areas such as UK Government securities to reduce risk. Continued monitoring of interest rate risk and investment strategy | Steve Allen | On-going | | | |
| *Review Date is the most recent date that the control was reviewed on. | | | | | |
| *Due Date is the data the action is aimed to be completed by. | | | | | |

Transport for London - Strategic Risk Register

| TFL02 : People Risk (inc. Pensions - Industrial Relations) | | | Owner: Tricia Riley | Assessed | Quarter 4 |
|--|-----------------------------|---|--|-----------------------------|---|
| Strategic objective: Failure to deliver the people strategy | | | Key risk indicator : Attendance, Staff turnover, Employee Survey, No. of strikes, financial reporting on pension fund | Trend | ↔ |
| Description: Failure to deliver TFL People Strategy (knowledge retention, timely access to scarce resources, staff development and retention) and/ or increased number of strikes resulting in unsuccessful delivery of projects, operational and change programmes, poor service, loss of revenue and loss of reputation. Failure to deliver New Savings Programme targets (through pay and pension savings) and risk of future TFL Pension Fund deficit from market conditions resulting in higher than planned costs. | | | | | |
| Current Risk Status | | | Target Risk Status | | |
| Risk of Industrial action is high while all other risks relating to People are medium | KPI impact to be quantified | See quantified schedules for Financial Impact | Target risk of medium on IR while all other People risk element targeted to be Low or Very Low resulting in an overall target of Low | KPI impact to be quantified | See quantified schedules for Financial Impact |
| Key Controls | Owner | Review Date* / Status | Key Actions | Owner | Due Date* / Status |
| Compliance with Tfl employment policies. | Tricia Riley | Periodic | Development of Strategic Resourcing strategy to enable Tfl to have a framework to plan adequate resources for future and make provision to acquire these resources proactively. | Tricia Riley | Sep 2015 |
| Staff training in people management | Tricia Riley | Ongoing | Implementation of a new Performance Management system (manual at present, however, an IT system is being developed) to include documentation, guidance and behavioural framework to assist managers to manage the performance of their staff across Tfl. This system has been rolled out across Corporate and Surface. Rail and Underground have been consulted for implementation and the system will be rolled out for non-operational staff in April. The online system will be piloted with Graduates before rolling out to the wider Tfl community. | Tricia Riley | Apr 2016 |
| External benchmarking to monitor performance against appropriate organisations to identify opportunities for improvement e.g. salary benchmarking | Stephen Field | Annual | Roll out and implementation of the Managing Essentials programme | Tricia Riley | 2017/18 |
| Organisational Change Team in place to support managers during organisational change | Tricia Riley | Ongoing | Tfl senior management programme & roll out | Tricia Riley | Nov 2016 |
| | | | As part of the Integrated Planning work stream an IT pilot solution is to be developed to assist in improving the accuracy of workforce planning. | Tricia Riley | Mar 2016 |
| | | | Employee relations strategy 3-5 year implementation plan to be developed | Tricia Riley | Sep 2015 |
| Company Council & Consultations Groups for Tfl and Trade Unions to discuss matters of mutual interest. | Tricia Riley | Quarterly | Review of Industrial Relations Strategy and development of a 3-5 year plan | Tricia Riley | Sep 2015 |
| Regular reports from franchise/concession operators on the status of relationship with Trade Unions, ballots and pay negotiations. | Tricia Riley | Ongoing | | | |
| Maintain links with other external organisations to monitor industry trends and developments impacting industrial relations | Tricia Riley | Ongoing | | | |
| Well diversified Trustee strategy which is set after taking advice from the Actuary and Investment Adviser and reviewed following each triennial valuation. Regular communication between Trustee/Actuary. | Stephen Field | Ongoing | Trustees agree a prudent funding target with the Principal Employer (known as technical provisions) following receipt of advice from the Actuary. | Stephen Field | Mar 2020 |
| Fully diversified portfolio of return seeking assets, including alternate asset classes which is actively monitored and reviewed on a regular basis. | Stephen Field | Ongoing | Ensure that Tfl has a well diversified investment strategy across all portfolios to minimise risk. | Stephen Field | Sep 2015 |
| Financial Management Plan (FMP) (reviewed by the Principal Employer) to enable the Trustee to monitor the ongoing funding position. | Stephen Field | Ongoing | | | |
| *Review Date is the most recent date that the control was reviewed on. | | | | | |
| *Due Date is the data the action is aimed to be completed by. | | | | | |

Transport for London - Strategic Risk Register

TFL03 : Delivery of capital investment portfolio

Owner: Nick Fairholme / David Waboso

Assessed

Quarter 4

Strategic objective: Failure to deliver the TfL Capital Investment Programme

Key risk indicator : Project milestones not being achieved

Trend



Description: Projects and programmes may not be delivered on time, budget or deliver expected benefits. This could lead to acceleration costs, target benefits being delayed and risking on going capital funding for DfT milestones.

| Current Risk Status | KPI impact to be quantified | See quantified schedules for Financial Impact | Target Risk Status | KPI impact to be quantified | See quantified schedules for Financial Impact |
|--|-----------------------------|---|--|-----------------------------|---|
| High score due to potential impact on Project Milestones, to poor design, construction & manufacture, inadequate project management and assurance arrangements | | | Improved processes and project controls as well as increased staff capability with improved handover process | | |

| Key Controls | Owner | Review Date* / Status | Key Actions | Owner | Due Date* / Status |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------------|---|----------------------------|--------------------|
| Financial and project forecasting including the assessment of likely underspend and project risk. | David Waboso/Patrick Doig | Quarterly | A pilot of workforce planning has been completed and actions are now been taken to embed this process. | Patrick Doig | Sep 2015 |
| Deployment of competent staff: engineers, assurance staff, project & programme staff and support staff. | Programme Directors | As required | Assess staff capability and establish competency and resource needs. Develop and implement improvement programme. | David Waboso | Mar 2016 |
| | | | Delivery Capability Improvement Programme, assess staff capability and establish competency and resource needs. Develop and implement improvement programme. | David Waboso | Mar 2016 |
| Thorough director review of projects and milestones including programme boards, Surface board and R&U board. | Leon Daniels/David Waboso | Periodic | The Business Process Review of Gates 0 - 6 is underway. The value stream has now been mapped, with six 'pinch points' prioritised to be taken forward as Lean Six Sigma projects. | Nick Fairholme | Jun 2015 |
| Review of Project dashboards and milestones; progress of projects reviewed to identify any potential slippage or risk to delivery. | Nick Fairholme | Periodic | A PPD Capability Improvement Programme is underway utilising industry best practice models and TfL best practice. | Nick Fairholme | Jun 2015 |
| Independent oversight of the investment programme by the PMO including peer and external stage gate reviews | Programme Directors | Periodic | | | |
| Automotive Train Control retender, enhanced governance and support through the establishment of a steering with senior involvement. | David Waboso | Periodic | Intensive and senior level reviews and engagement with Thales for solution | David Waboso | Jun 2015 |
| Mitigate against failures of key suppliers through regular performance reviews | Programme Directors | Periodic | Working with London Councils to support Borough resourcing. | Dana Skelley & Ben Plowden | Jun 2015 |
| Supply chain intelligence - early warning of supplier failure through monitoring critical supplier financial health, capacity and payment performance | David Waboso | Periodic | Obtain complete visibility of CPD portfolio to enable early identification of deliverability risks for projects | David Waboso | Aug 2015 |

*Review Date is the most recent date that the control was reviewed on.

*Due Date is the date the action is aimed to be completed by.

Transport for London - Strategic Risk Register

TFL04 : Technology Risk

Owner: Shashi Verma/ Steve Allen

Assessed

Quarter 4

Strategic objective: Loss or disruption of key technology services

Key risk indicator : MD Scorecard targets and Director updates, Significant breach of SLA, Financial forecasts

Trend



Description: Significant loss of information/ key IM services including the ticketing system that support the business and/or network operations due to a cyber security incident or system failure/ outages. If the collection system (including contactless cards) fails temporarily, this may result in reputational damage to TfL and the loss of confidence in the integrity of the fare collection system.

| Current Risk Status | | | Target Risk Status | | |
|---|-----------------------------|---|---|-----------------------------|---|
| Risks to Future Ticketing and a cyber security incident are high. The risk to ticketing system failure is medium despite the potential impact on TfL's Business Plan. | KPI impact to be quantified | See quantified schedules for Financial Impact | Risk driver- Key controls in place and maintained | KPI impact to be quantified | See quantified schedules for Financial Impact |
| Key Controls | Owner | Review Date* / Status | Key Actions | Owner | Due Date* / Status |
| Maintain and monitor regular Backups and Restores of appropriate IM systems and carry out restore tests. | Steve Townsend | Quarterly | Undertake analysis of IM services to assess criticality/financial impact of cyber security risk | Steve Townsend | Oct 2015 |
| Implement and maintain a dual Data Centre Strategy. | Steve Townsend | Quarterly | Create a pan-TfL Security Competency | Steve Townsend | Jul 2015 |
| Continue to develop and implement remediation plans from the security gap analysis. | Steve Townsend | Quarterly | Set up a taskforce to look at security pan-TfL and identify who owns cyber security across TfL | Steve Townsend | Jun 2015 |
| Ensure that all contractor equipment does not use live keys so that changes made do not inadvertently transfer to the live system. | Shashi Verma | Periodic | Desktop Futures Project - Supportability of the legacy platform. | Steve Townsend | Jun 2015 |
| Ensure that any base data change delivered to the TfL ticketing system is tested and assured by TfL representatives before approval for implementation. | Shashi Verma | Ongoing | | | |
| A dedicated Test Assurance team is in place to manage integration testing of all changes to the live system including go-live testing. | Shashi Verma | Ongoing | | | |
| Robust change management procedures to mitigate risk of uncontrolled change. | Shashi Verma | Ongoing | | | |
| Ensure that all bulk hot list changes have release plans to be assured and approved by TfL. | Shashi Verma | Ongoing | | | |
| Contract Service Level Agreement in place with Cubic for updated card status list to be received by readers within an hour. This ensures that invalid cards cannot be used for more than one journey. | Shashi Verma | Ongoing | | | |
| Contactless cards users' data protected by single sign-on, providing more security when logging onto the TfL website. | Shashi Verma | Ongoing | | | |

*Review Date is the most recent date that the control was reviewed on.

*Due Date is the data the action is aimed to be completed by.

Transport for London - Strategic Risk Register

| TFL05 : Disruption to quality of service | | | Owner: Alan Bristow/ Mike Weston/ Nick Brown/ Sarah Atkins | Assessed | Quarter 4 |
|--|---------------------------------|--|--|-----------------|--|
| <p>Strategic objective: Reliability of service and roads, high quality bus network, customer service, increasing capacity</p> <p>Description: Increased congestion on TfL's network (across all modes of transports) impacts overall reliability and customer satisfaction. Causes include disruption to operational and investment programmes as well as reallocation of road space between uses and interchange with the TfL Rail Network.</p> | | | <p>Key risk indicator : Various KPIs including; TLRN CSS, TLRN Journey time reliability, Bus excess wait time, Scheduled Services Operated etc</p> <p>Trend ↔</p> | | |
| <p>Current Risk Status</p> <p>Significant impact on various KPIs e.g TLRN & bus CSS & TLRN Journey time reliability. Moderate impact: inappropriate R&U operating standards and processes. Lower risk impact due to insufficient diversity in the supply chain.</p> | | | <p>Target Risk Status</p> <p>Roll out of various programmes to improve disruption and to identify problems. Also to improve integration and accuracy.</p> | | <p>See quantified schedules for Financial Impact</p> |
| Key Controls | Owner | Review Date* / Status | Key Actions | Owner | Due Date* / Status |
| Existing Bus priority measures and programme and Bus routes reliability measures. | Mike Weston | Periodic | Early quick wins are being developed as part of the initial phase of £200 million bus priority programme for 2015/16. The main elements of the full programme will be (i) Central London Road Modernisation (ii) Easing of key bus pinch points and (iii) High Quality Bus Priority Corridors. | Mike Weston | Jul 2015 |
| Journey time reliability (JTR) deterioration minimised or avoided by through schemes impact assessment Traffic Signals Secondary Report (TSSR). JTR impacts from planned works and events controlled through coordination and permitting of works and weekly Operational Assurance meeting to review expected impacts of planned events and manage accordingly. | Alan Bristow | Periodic | JTR Action Plan: 10 Critical worst performing London Congestion Analysis Projects in each area are being targeted by Outcome Delivery (OD) Corridor Teams. The results are reviewed periodically. | Alan Bristow | Jun 2015 |
| | | | Development and implementation of Freight Strategies | Ben Plowden | Jun 2015 |
| | | | Rollout of 80 TfL Roads and Transport Enforcement Officers focused on the road network initially to address areas at risk of congestion. | Steve Burton | Jun 2015 |
| The Tunnels and Structures programme has been added into the road space assessment as a hot-spot assessment involving work with Planned Interventions and Utilities. | Dana Skelley | Periodic | SITS - Phase 2 is now underway to look at the delivery options and choose a preferred option ahead of the next business case submission in Spring 2015. | Alan Bristow | Jun 2015 |
| Supply chain intelligence - early warning of supplier failure, insolvency or change in ownership, monitoring critical supplier financial health, capacity and payment performance. | Sarah Atkins | Periodic | Implement the Commercial Capability Programme, ensure there is supplier relationship management | Sarah Atkins | Aug 2015 |
| Supplier selection processes to support procurement agents in assessing the market and selecting suppliers. There should also be regular performance reviews of key suppliers. | Sarah Atkins | Periodic | Embedding the early commercial techniques from the IUK Project Initiation Routemap into TfL project procurement approach | Sarah Atkins | Sep 2015 |
| Audit of controls and the management system ³ and asset integration controls within a whole life asset management approach and business contingency & resilience planning. ⁴ | C.Walker/K.Dunning/S. Griffiths | As agreed ³ Ongoing ⁴ | | | |
| LUCC operates 24/7 ensuring a response is available for all incidents on the R&U network. | Steve Griffiths | Periodic | Delivery of Night Tube | Steve Griffiths | Sep 2015 |
| Produce the LANP (Line Asset Network Plan), development of a 24 month rolling resource scheduling plan ⁵ and a BMR review of activity against the agreed plan. ⁶ | Steve Griffiths | Annual ⁵ Ongoing ⁶ | Deliver the Fit for the Future Stations to simplify the model at stations so that staff are more visible and able to respond to customers needs quickly. | Steve Griffiths | Feb 2016 |
| <p>*Review Date is the most recent date that the control was reviewed on.</p> <p>*Due Date is the data the action is aimed to be completed by.</p> | | | | | |

Transport for London - Strategic Risk Register

| | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|---|---|-----------------------------|---|
| TFL06: Targeting Continued Improvement | | | Owner: Ben Plowden | Assessed | Quarter 4 |
| Strategic objective: Improved environment, more & safer cycling, reduced casualties | | | Key risk indicator :TLRN Cycling index, Nox emission from bus fleet, KSI London-wide | Trend | ↔ |
| Description: Inability to meet targets set by external stakeholders resulting in loss of reputation and/or fines. Target include: Mayor's target for "more and safer cycling" and reduced casualties as well as EU air quality targets. | | | | | |
| Current Risk Status | | | Target Risk Status | | |
| Medium risk due to impact on KPIs: e.g TfL Road Network (TLRN) Cycling index, cycle hire numbers, NOx emission from buses, killed or seriously injured (KSI) London Wide etc. | KPI impact to be quantified | See quantified schedules for Financial Impact | Addressing target through Central London cycle grid, Quiet ways, Road Safety Action Plan and delivery of more efficient buses. | KPI impact to be quantified | See quantified schedules for Financial Impact |
| Key Controls | Owner | Review Date* / Status | Key Actions | Owner | Due Date* / Status |
| Review of cycling portfolio. | Ben Plowden | Annual | Implementation of key TfL & Borough infrastructures. TfL: Cycle Superhighways & Better Junctions. Borough: Central London Grid, Mini Holland & Quietways. | Ben Plowden | Jun 2015 |
| Ongoing engagement with Defra, DfT, Society of Motor Manufactures and Traders (SMMT), Taxi Industry (zero emission Taxi) and Office Low Emission Vehicles to address agenda. | Ben Plowden | As required | Retrofitting Program - The DfT has awarded a £500k contribution to TfL to increase the number of Euro 3 buses retrofitted with selective catalytic reduction (SCR) from 1,400 to 1,800 by 2015 | Mike Weston | Jun 2015 |
| Engagement with Car Clubs and Car share industries. | Ben Plowden | As required | Hybrid Bus rollout Programme - Target of 1,700 by 2016 (including a revised target for 800 New Routemasters) introduced | Mike Weston | Jun 2015 |
| Mayor's Air Quality Plan - First year of programme complete, with three schemes finished. 31 further schemes to complete in 2015/16, as well as publication of new guidance for 2016/17. | Ben Plowden | As required | Consider results of key consultations: Zero Emissions Capable Taxi consultation ends on 6 January 2015 as part of the ULEZ consultation which will finish early 2015. | Ben Plowden | Jun 2015 |
| Research into electric vehicles potential usage in commercial fleets to inform / prompt private sector investment in charging infrastructure. | Ben Plowden | As required | Started development on 14 case studies demonstrating how consolidation in its many different forms can work effectively for businesses, contributing to reduced congestion and emissions, and reducing the risk of collisions particularly in peak periods. | Ben Plowden | Jun 2015 |
| Construction Logistics and Cycle Safety and Work related Road Risk (WRRR) projects. Implement Road Safety Plan programme through Surface Planning, Delivery teams and liaison with the boroughs. | Ben Plowden | As required | Implementation of the actions outlined in the Mayor's Road Safety Action Plan. Implementation of actions outlined in the Cycle, Pedestrian, Motorcycle Safety Action Plan. | Ben Plowden | Jun 2015 |
| Implement Road Safety Plan programme through Surface Planning, Delivery teams and liaison with the boroughs. | Ben Plowden | As required | Conducting research: Young Driver Fatal and serious injury study. Serious Cyclist and Pedestrian injuries research. Road Safety Technology trial (Bus optical /radar) | Ben Plowden | Oct 2015 |
| Continued political and officer engagement to raise the profile of road safety with the boroughs, and urge them to prioritise effective investment through the LIPs. | Ben Plowden | As required | | | |
| Established an external and internal governance framework to ensure the road safety portfolio is on-track, balanced, and delivered with the support of key partners. | Ben Plowden | As required | | | |
| *Review Date is the most recent date that the control was reviewed on. | | | | | |
| *Due Date is the data the action is aimed to be completed by. | | | | | |

Transport for London - Strategic Risk Register

| | | | | | |
|---|--|-------------------------------|--|---|--|
| TFL07: Major / Catastrophic incident | | | Owner: Dana Skelley/Mike Weston/Jill Collis | Assessed | Quarter 4 |
| Strategic objective: Quality bus network and reliable roads, reliability and safety, customer service | | | Key risk indicator : UK Threat level, Category I defects made safe within 24 hrs, emergency call-out within 1 hour, planned assurance audits | Trend | ↔ |
| Description: Lack of resilience to a major external event such as a terrorist incident which impacts on the integrity of infrastructure and/or ability to provide a core service. This could have a long term impact on public confidence in using the network and lead to reduction in revenue. Major incident or accident that results in fatalities or multiple serious injuries to the travelling public, staff and damage to TfL assets. | | | | | |
| Current Risk Status | | See quantified | Target Risk Status | | See quantified |
| Risk drivers - Medium impact due to potential adverse effects on KPIs including safety, security. There are health, safety and environment incidents due to asset failure or an external event. | | KPI impact to be quantified | Addressing target through Central London cycle grid, Quiet ways, Road Safety Action Plan and delivery of more efficient buses. | | KPI impact to be quantified |
| Key Controls | | Owner | Key Actions | Owner | Due Date* / Status |
| Operational plans for police and TfL's on-street enforcement officers include response deployment plans for major unplanned incidents. Plans are kept under review. | | Steve Burton | Ongoing | Business Continuity Managers have established an annual work plan that specifies training, exercising, technical tests, plan review targets and milestones. | Garrett Emmerson Sep 2015 |
| Intelligence and liaison with police and security services to determine security risk assessment. | | Steve Griffiths | Ongoing | | |
| Audit of Client inspection procedures will be conducted under PAS 55 scope. Undertake external audit of Structures inspection regime and focus on structures below good 'criteria' | | Dana Skelley | Completed | Actions and improvements identified as part of the Gate P report are in progress. The Asset Management Improvement Plan (AMIP) is to be produced by May / June 2015. | Dana Skelley Jun 2015 |
| Manage arising disruption with business as usual practices and contingency arrangements. | | Mike Weston | As required | Renew assets such as Major Bridge and Tunnel Works and work with London Boroughs and other partners. | Dana Skelley Jun 2015 |
| Ensure Safety is integrated into all business processes and avoid complacency. | | Mike Weston | Periodic | Develop a Procedural Blueprint that will align with outcome of strategic review of capacity to identify areas of deficiency and outline timelines, responsibilities, etc. | Mike Weston Dec 2015 |
| Training programme to up skill managers and enable an up to date risk assessment of operational assets. Health & Safety Standards - robust health safety procedures have been implemented to mitigate this risk | | Mike Weston | Periodic | | |
| London Underground Control Centre (LUCC) operates 24/7 ensuring a response is available for all incidents on the R&U network. | | Richard Jones | Periodic | Produce prioritised action plan based on the findings of the Safety Management System maturity assessment. | Jill Collis Jun 2016 |
| Bi -annual Health, Safety & Environment (HSE) management system review | | Ian Gaskin/ Amanda Hopkins | Jun 2016 | Delivery of extreme weather report and analysis highlighting potential affects on service delivery | Jill Collis Jul 2015 |
| | | | | Strategic review of future requirements to enhance the capabilities of command, control, coordination and communications across R&U including LUCC, improving day to day management of incidents and growing our capability to predict and prevent service disruption undertaken and presented to RULM. | Steve Griffiths / Jill Collis Jul 2015 |

*Review Date is the most recent date that the control was reviewed on.

*Due Date is the data the action is aimed to be completed by.