

RatingsDirect®

Research Update:

Transport For London 'AA/A-1+' Ratings Affirmed; Outlook Negative

Primary Credit Analyst:

Ratul Sood, London +44 (0) 20 7176 6536; ratul.sood@spglobal.com

Secondary Credit Analyst:

Ines Olondriz, Madrid (34) 91-788-7202; ines.olondriz@spglobal.com

Table Of Contents

Overview

Rating Action

Rationale

Outlook

Key Statistics

Ratings Score Snapshot

Key Sovereign Statistics

Related Criteria And Research

Ratings List

Research Update:

Transport For London 'AA/A-1+' Ratings Affirmed; Outlook Negative

Overview

- We anticipate a further weakening of U.K. municipalities' revenue and expenditure balances given that locally raised additional revenues and spending cuts fail to fully compensate the ongoing and further potential reductions in central government grants.
- Consequently, we are revising downward our assessment of the institutional framework under which U.K. municipalities, including Transport for London (TfL), operate.
- This revision does not, however, further affect our assessment of TfL's standalone credit profile. Therefore, we are affirming our 'AA' long-term and 'A-1+' short-term ratings on TfL.
- The outlook remains negative and indicates that we would lower the rating on TfL if we lowered our long-term rating on the U.K. or if we observed significant weakening in the quality of TfL's financial management combined with materially adverse economic conditions in London.

Rating Action

On Aug. 12, 2016, S&P Global Ratings affirmed its 'AA' long-term issuer credit rating on Transport for London (TfL). We also affirmed our 'A-1+' short-term issuer credit rating on TfL. The outlook is negative.

As defined in EU CRA Regulation 1060/2009 (EU CRA Regulation), the ratings on Transport for London are subject to certain publication restrictions set out in Art 8a of the EU CRA Regulation, including publication in accordance with a preestablished calendar (see "Calendar Of 2016 EMEA Sovereign, Regional, And Local Government Rating Publication Dates: Midyear Update," published Aug. 10, 2016, on RatingsDirect). Under the EU CRA Regulation, deviations from the announced calendar are allowed only in limited circumstances and must be accompanied by a detailed explanation of the reasons for the deviation. In this case, the reason for the deviation is our downward revision of the institutional framework for U.K. municipalities. The next scheduled rating publication on Transport for London will be on Oct. 14, 2016.

Rationale

The affirmation follows the downward revision of our institutional framework assessment for U.K. municipalities to very predictable and well-balanced from extremely predictable and supportive.

Our downward revision of the institutional framework for U.K. municipalities reflects our anticipation of further deterioration in the revenue and expenditure

balance amongst U.K. municipalities (for more information see "Cuts In Grants Are Weighing On U.K. Municipalities Ratings," published on Aug. 12, 2016).

The long-term rating on TfL is equivalent to our 'aa' assessment of its stand-alone credit profile. In our view, London will continue to be of strategic importance to the U.K. economy, and TfL continues to benefit from its exceptional liquidity position. The ratings on TfL also reflect the very predictable and well-balanced institutional framework within which it operates as a U.K. local authority. Positively, we view TfL's overall commitment to fiscal discipline and the quality of its financial management as very strong. At the same time, we believe the U.K.'s referendum decision to leave the EU has led to an uncertain and more challenging operating environment for TfL, and much depends on the outcome of detailed Brexit negotiations. The rating is constrained by the weakening budgetary performance driven by the reduction in government grants and substantial capital expenditure. Furthermore, TfL has a high level of tax-supported debt, which we expect to reach about 167% of consolidated operating revenues by 2019.

Outlook

The negative outlook indicates that we would lower the rating on TfL if we lowered our long-term rating on the U.K. government because we do not believe that the institutional and financial framework allows U.K. local and regional governments to be rated above the sovereign.

We could also lower the rating over the next 24 months if we anticipated a considerable weakening in its financial management and control, potentially from adverse economic conditions in London or cost pressures from its significant capital projects.

We could revise the rating to stable over the next 24 months, if the outlook on the U.K. government was revised to stable and, at the same time, if TfL performs in line with our base-case expectations.

Key Statistics

• For TfL's published key statistics, please refer to: Research Update: Transport for London Rating Lowered To 'AA' Following Similar Action On The U.K.; Outlook Now Negative - June 29, 2016.

Ratings Score Snapshot

Transport for London Ratings Score Snapshot

Key Rating Factors

Institutional Framework	Very predictable and well-balanced	
Economy	Verystrong	
Financial Management	Verystrong	
Budgetary Flexibility	Satisfactory	
Budgetary Performance	Very Weak	
Liquidity	Exceptional	
Debt Burden	High	
Contingent Liabilities	Very low	

 $[*]S\&P\ Global\ Ratings'\ local\ and\ regional\ government\ ratings\ are\ based\ on\ eight\ main\ factors\ listed\ in\ the\ table\ above.\ Section\ A\ of\ S\&P\ Global\ Ratings'\ "Methodology\ For\ Rating\ Non-U.S.\ Local\ And\ Regional\ Governments,"\ published\ on\ June\ 30,\ 2014,\ summarizes\ how\ the\ eight\ factors\ are\ combined\ to\ derive\ the\ rating.$

Key Sovereign Statistics

• Sovereign Risk Indicators - July 6, 2016 (a free interactive version can be viewed at http://www.spratings.com/sri)

Related Criteria And Research

Related Criteria

- Criteria Governments International Public Finance: Methodology: Rating Non-U.S. Local And Regional Governments Higher Than The Sovereign - December 15, 2014
- Criteria Governments International Public Finance: Methodology For Rating Non-U.S. Local And Regional Governments June 30, 2014
- General Criteria: Ratings Above The Sovereign--Corporate And Government Ratings: Methodology And Assumptions November 19, 2013
- Criteria Governments International Public Finance: Methodology And Assumptions For Analyzing The Liquidity Of Non-U.S. Local And Regional Governments And Related Entities And For Rating Their Commercial Paper Programs - October 15, 2009
- General Criteria: Use Of CreditWatch And Outlooks September 14, 2009

Related Research

- Research Update: Transport for London Rating Lowered To 'AA' Following Similar Action On The U.K.; Outlook Now Negative June 29, 2016
- Institutional Framework Assessments For Non-U.S. Local And Regional Governments April 21, 2016
- Default, Transition, and Recovery: 2014 Annual International Public Finance Default Study And Rating Transitions June 08, 2015

In accordance with our relevant policies and procedures, the Rating Committee was composed of analysts that are qualified to vote in the committee, with sufficient experience to convey the appropriate level of knowledge and understanding of the methodology applicable (see 'Related Criteria And Research'). At the onset of the committee, the chair confirmed that the information provided to the Rating Committee

by the primary analyst had been distributed in a timely manner and was sufficient for Committee members to make an informed decision.

After the primary analyst gave opening remarks and explained the recommendation, the Committee discussed key rating factors and critical issues in accordance with the relevant criteria. Qualitative and quantitative risk factors were considered and discussed, looking at track-record and forecasts.

The committee's assessment of the key rating factors is reflected in the Ratings Score Snapshot above.

The chair ensured every voting member was given the opportunity to articulate his/her opinion. The chair or designee reviewed the draft report to ensure consistency with the Committee decision. The views and the decision of the rating committee are summarized in the above rationale and outlook. The weighting of all rating factors is described in the methodology used in this rating action (see 'Related Criteria and Research').

Ratings List

	Rating	
	То	From
Transport for London		
Issuer Credit Rating		
Foreign and Local Currency	AA/Negative/A-1+	AA/Negative/A-1+
Senior Unsecured		
Local Currency	AA	AA
Commercial Paper		
Foreign and Local Currency	A-1+	A-1+

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.standardandpoors.com for further information. Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.globalcreditportal.com and at spcapitaliq.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public Web site at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column. Alternatively, call one of the following S&P Global Ratings numbers: Client Support Europe (44) 20-7176-7176; London Press Office (44) 20-7176-3605; Paris (33) 1-4420-6708; Frankfurt (49) 69-33-999-225; Stockholm (46) 8-440-5914; or Moscow 7 (495) 783-4009.

Copyright © 2016 by S&PGlobal Market Intelligence, a division of S&PGlobal Inc. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED, OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses, and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw, or suspend such acknowledgement at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal, or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com(free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.comand www.globalcreditportal.com(subscription) and www.spcapitaliq.com (subscription) and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.